



Wednesday, August 14, 2019
9:00 AM GCCARD

Our Mission:

“A community working together to achieve the goal for all residents of Flint and Genesee County to have access to safe and affordable housing “

A G E N D A

Prioritization Meeting

- | | | |
|------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| I. | Welcome and Introductions | Kasie White |
| II. | Old Business | |
| | Approval of July minutes | Kasie White |
| III. | New Business | |
| | A. City of Flint Recommendations | Kevin Miller |
| | B. NOFA 2018 Prioritization | |
| | 1. Voting Guidelines | Kasie White |
| | 2. Presentations | “ |
| | 3. HUD Rating and Ranking Tool | Tracey Jackson |
| | i. Project Rating Tool | “ |
| | ii. Rating Results | |
| | 4. Roll Call Vote | Kasie White |

FYI ONLY (no discussion)

- IV. *New Business (cont'd)*
- A. *September 11th Meeting Themes*
- All things Coordinated Entry*
 - New CoC members drive*
- B. *October Meeting*
- Held at Carriage Town Ministries*
- V. *Other Business (FYI no discussion)*
- A. *FY 2019 CoC Program Competition NOFA (Submission deadline 9/30/2019)*
- B. *Training*
- NeighborWorks Training – New Orleans (Aug 19th-23rd)*
 - 2019 Homeless Summit (October 2nd - 3rd Blue Water Convention Center)*
- VI. *Adjournment – Next meeting **September 11, 2019 9:00 AM** Location: GCCARD, 601 Saginaw St, Flint, MI 48503*



Flint / Genesee County CoC Minutes

July 10, 2019

1:00 PM Oak Business Center

Our Mission:

A community working together to achieve access to safe and affordable housing for all residents of Genesee County.

Attendance: Kaitlyn Adler, CS Mott Foundation; Jacqueline Bonner, GSH Group LLC; Misty Bowers, City of Flint; Maurice Bush, Wellness Aids Services; Dwayne Clemons, Hamilton; Tiffany Cobb, GHS; Shardee Davis, GCCARD; Tabatha Dolan, McFarlan Villages; Bill Doub, GHS; Robert Esselink, CCSGC; Tiffany Ferrier, Family Promise of Genesee County; Damon Fortney, GCMPC; James Gaskin, UW; Brian Glowiak, Metro Community Development; Debra Hayes, My Brother's Keeper; Myra Hinkle, MCD; Nikola Lucic, Legal Services of Eastern Michigan; Robert Martion, Traverse Place; Charlotte McCann, Building Strong Women; Kevin Miller, City of Flint; MarQuay Neeley, Building Strong Women; Gabriel Parra, US Department of Veterans Affairs; Jim Perlaki, Traverse Place; Judy Pearlman, SIM Project; Scott Richardson, OLHSA; Johnnetta Ricks; Elizabeth Ruediger, Shelter of Flint; Trina Sanders, YWCA; Ashley Seebach, Flint Odyssey House; Tracy Smith, BECKA Management; Shearese Stapleton, East Side Mission; Susan Schreberger, ; Sydney Weycker, MCD; Kasie White, UM Flint Social Work Department; Angela Willie, Carriage Town Ministries; Jamie Yee Venable, UWGC; Rayetta Wyatt, State of Michigan- Veteran Services.

I. Welcome and Introductions: Meeting called to order at 9:00 AM by Kasie White.

II. Community Presentation - SIM Project: The discussion began with James Gaskin, CEO of United Way, discussing the hardships of spreading the awareness of a system-wide efficient resource that would be a benefit for all our residents. Judy Pearlman and Jamie explained that we have the resources to facilitate a planning process, but the bottom-line analysis is implementing the coordinated entry and governance charter. Judy states that we should engage the key players in Flint whose clients are not counted; Key players who can support at an executive level in arenas such as in the hospitals, Genesee Intermediate School district, Chamber of Commerce, the county, etc. She states that new partners will bring in new resources and partnerships.

III. Approval of June Minutes: Liz Ruediger requested for a deletion in the after-hours plan excerpt, the after-hours plan subject was not discussed in previous minutes. The minutes were approved with changes.

IV. Reports

A. H.A.R.A

1. HCV- No discussion.
2. ESG Prevention- No discussion.
3. Rapid Re-housing- No discussion.
4. Referrals- No discussion.
5. Extra Services- No discussion.
6. After hours plan- Calls after 5:00 pm hours go to Shelter of Flint (SOF) shelter location that has staff present 24 hours a day. Next team meeting they will discuss the after-hours motel policy as it relates to DDHS. Kasie suggested coming back and doing a refresher presentation in the fall, they look forward to that. The hours of operation may be revised. Further notification will be provided.

B. Subcommittee/Work Group- Kasie stated it is imperative that those chairing subcommittees have attendance captured in the subcommittee reports as we get closer to HUD NOFA prioritization. We will be looking at ways to integrate the QNL, BNL and IST subcommittees within the next couple of months. Kasie requested a CoC monthly meeting calendar be placed on Metro's website so that way everyone knows where and when the meetings are happening.

1. HMIS Admin/LSA/SPM/Quality by Name List-Report submitted. Angela highlighted the System Performance Measure Report attached is the final submission.
2. Continuous Quality Improvement- Report submitted. No discussion.
3. Coordinated Entry System Implementation- Report Submitted. No discussion.
4. By Name List/ SOAR- Reports submitted. Veterans by Name List meeting has been cancelled until August. Conference call will be on July 18, 2019, Bill states he will send out more information regarding that. Bill is trying to get Chad, Tracey and anyone else interested onboard with giving a SOAR In-service.
5. Interagency Service Team (IST)- No report submitted. Tiffany Ferrier states she will be co-chairing Fiscal work subcommittee with Kasie and is currently looking for someone to take over IST for a couple of months while QNL absorbs IST. IST meeting will be at Family Promise on July 11, 2019 at 11:00 am.
6. Governance Implementation- No meeting. No discussion.
7. Advocacy- No meeting. No discussion.
8. Engagement- Dwayne thanked the committee for the opportunity to serve for past 2 years. He resigned from his position as engagement chair. He states that Kanisha from YWCA is willing to step up and serve as Chairperson. A co-chair is needed.

C. Fiscal Workgroup- Kasie stated from August moving forward we will be having fiscal work group meetings every last Wednesday of the month at 9:00 am. The exception this month is the meeting will be at noon on July 30, 2019 at Oak Business center. The goal is to talk about all type of dollars/funds that touch supportive housing and all its funders. If there are any questions regarding fiscal information or if a report update is needed email Kasie or Tiffany. Fiscal meetings are open meetings anyone can attend.

1. HUD spending- Report submitted. No discussion.
2. MSHDA Spending- Report submitted. No discussion.
3. City of Flint- Report submitted. No discussion.
4. Samaritan Plus (GCCARD)- Report submitted. No discussion.
5. Shelter Plus Care (MDHHS)- Report submitted. No discussion.
6. Engagement-Report submitted. \$550 is currently remaining in our engagement committee. Great job Dewayne on securing non-traditional funding through community partners. The United Way expressed willingness to donate for the engagement committee.

V. Old Business

- A. HUD Monitorings Update- HUD Monitoring for Metro was conducted June 24- 28th, 2019. We have not received the official letter but overall it was a successful monitoring. Closing comments from Margaret was that the community is open to learning and that there is transparency among community. She also stated she was impressed with how well Metro and subrecipients work together. The County's HUD monitoring is next week. The City of Flint's HUD Monitoring will be July 11, 2019 and July 12, 2019.
- B. MSHDA ESG Recommendation- Kasie brought forth the CoC's recommendations for ESG allowances. Three agencies applied. Liz stated we should have allocations by the end of the week. The following percentages were approved: 32% for homeless prevention, 30% for administrative costs, 40% for HMIS and 7% for Admin, and 27% for RRH. A 40% grant requirement is mandated and designated to go to the HARA. We may not get the bonus dollars from last year. Once the award dollars are determined SOF she will plug it into the spreadsheet based on the above calculation. ESG recommendations were approved for the next fiscal year.
- C. Youth HD Project NOFA- This topic was carried over from last month. Jim stated the Youth Homeless Demonstration project has been closed but will begin preparation for next year, July 2020. If anyone has a type of youth program focused on clients between 16 – 24 years of age and want information on the project, contact Kasie. The applicant must be within the CoC.

VI. New Business

- A. By-Laws Proposals: By-laws review will be in November. The Governance will use our current by-laws as we are looking at the implementation process. Tracey will be sending out the Flint/Genesee COC meeting procedures and cancellation procedures for vote at the next CoC.

VI. Other Business

- A. FY 2019 CoC Program Competition NOFA announcement was sent to the CoC on July 8th. (Submission deadline 9/30/2019) - The prioritization meeting will be in August. Tracey stated this year the CoC will be utilizing the HUD Rating and Ranking tool for prioritization as a more objective tool. Angela Beaugard stated by July 12, 2019 will be a schedule for the NOFA deadline for submission.
- B. Training
 - 1. NeighborWorks Training- New Orleans (Aug 19th-23rd) – No discussion.
 - 2. 2019 Homeless Summit (October 2nd- 3rd Blue Water Convention Center) -No discussion.

VII. Announcements

- A. Sharing, Client Successes, announcements & opportunities, email for distribution: flint-geneseecoc@metroflint.org

IX. Adjournment- Meeting adjourned at 11:10 am.

Next meeting August 14, 2019 9:00 AM Location: GCCARD, 601 Saginaw St, Flint, MI 48503



Mission: A community working together to achieve access to safe and affordable housing for all residents of Genesee County.

2019 NOFA PRIORITIZATION

August 14, 2019 Meeting

- VOTER ELGIBILITY
- PROJECT PRESENTATION
 - New project GCYC
 - Q&A
- Other Projects Q&A
- HUD RATING AND RANKING TOOL
- ROLL CALL VOTE

2019 HUD CoC Program NOFA VOTING ELIGIBILITY

AGENCY NAME	7 of last 12 COC MEETINGS	ACTIVE PARTIC. IN 2 OR MORE SUBCOM	Support	Opposed	Abstention
1 Carriage Town Ministries	X	X			
2 City of Flint	X	X	NON-VOTING (funder)		
3 Center for Civil Justice	X	X			
4 Community Resolution Center	X	X			
5 Family Promise of Genesee County	X	X			
6 Flint Odyssey House	X	X			
7 GCYC	X	X			
8 Genesee Health System	X	X			
9 Hamilton Community Health Network	X	X			
10 Legal Services of Eastern Michigan	X	X			
11 Metro Community Development	X	X	NON-VOTING (lead agency)		
12 My Brothers Keeper	X	X			
13 Shelter of Flint	X	X			
14 UM Flint Social Work Department	X	X	NON-VOTING (Executive Committee Chair)		
15 Wellness AIDS Services	X	X			
16 YWCA	X	X			

**Genesee County Youth Corporation
Transitional Housing Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH)
Project Proposal**

- **Table of Contents** **Page 1**

- **Plan for Acquiring Housing Units** **Page 2**

- **Project Approach** **Page 2**
 - ✓ Housing First
 - ✓ Trauma Informed Care
 - ✓ Low Barrier Access
 - ✓ Effective Service Plan in Collaboration with the CoC Coordinated Entry System
 - ✓ Ensure Long-term Housing Stability
 - ✓ One-year Budget

- **Organizational Philosophy** **Page 4**
 - ✓ Best Practices
 - ✓ Evidence Based
 - ✓ Culturally Competent
 - ✓ Individualized Client Model

- **Compliance with CoC and HUD Performance Measures** **Page 7**

- **Eligibility Criteria** **Page 7**
 - ✓ Non-profit 501c3 organization
 - ✓ Good Standing with CoC and HUD
 - ✓ New Project Definition
 - ✓ Timeline for Implementation

- **Agency Characteristics** **Page 9**
 - ✓ History of Implementation of CoC ESG Project
 - ✓ Ability to Leverage Medicaid Resources
 - ✓ Ability to Leverage Mainstream Resources
 - ✓ Ability to Implement Housing First Approach Projects
 - ✓ PSH Provider
 - ✓ Successful Implementation of HMIS and Adherence to Policies and Procedures

1. Plan for Acquiring Housing Units

Genesee County Youth Corporations (GCYC) will partner with local landlords who have had a history in working with other Continuum of Care (CoC) members for similar housing projects under adult Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Tenant Based rental Assistance (TBRA) and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV).

Staff will explore other landlords throughout the community in an attempt to build new relationships. This will be done through canvassing apartment complexes in the county, building relationships with landlords through attendance at landlord association meetings, and building on existing relationships they agency has with landlords for its Graduated Apartment scattered site program. Staff have also spoken with Shelter of Flint management and discussed utilizing housing units they own that may be vacant and appropriate for the needs of this project.

2. Project Approach

a. Housing First

With a Positive Youth Development framework, the staff work with you through a harm reduction technique of starting where the youth is and not on some predetermined level in order to be ready for care. These are the foundational principles for a housing first model of care. The program is not designed to keep youth out of care, but rather to provide the platform from which youth can enter into care and use that as a launching pad to grow. As a result of this approach, youth are given the opportunity to move into housing first, and then practice the skills they need to obtain to become self-sufficient.

b. Trauma Informed Care

GCYC has created an environment that is youth friendly, built upon a trauma informed-care model of support. We do not ask why youth are acting the way they do or struggling with what they do, but instead approach a youth asking what happened to them. Understanding that youth who have experienced homelessness have experienced trauma, we begin with that as the starting point. Learning what has impacted the youth helps to build a case plan that identifies the youth as the expert to meeting their goals. Staff act as coaches, mentors and advocates along the journey of healing.

c. Low Barrier Access

Although the program is designed with guidelines for youth to follow in order to enter and remain in the program, those guidelines are low barrier and created to provide a safe and stable place for youth to reside. It is recognized that youth experiencing homelessness have experienced trauma, participate in behaviors that are not conducive to a congregate living environments and become defensive when they are scared and feel threatened when confronted about their past. The goal is to understand that these are not reasons to deny youth the help they are desperately in need of, but welcome the youth knowing that they may need mental health care, substance abuse disorder treatment and professional services to let down their defenses and focus on their future in a positive manner.

d. Effective Service Plan in Collaboration with the CoC Coordinated Entry System

GCYC is committed to collaborating with community partners to assure a streamlined approach to care utilizing a coordinated entry system. Within this structure, the person seeking help gets the help they need from the right community partner, at the right time in the most appropriate and effective manner. Working in partnership with the Genesee County HARA, and CoC the community will make effective decisions on who and how youth will be connected with the

GCYC RRH project. Deciding factors will include collaborative decision making, SPDAT scoring, comprehensive assessment to determine case management needs and stable housing options to meet each youth where they are. It is proposed that 10% of those served will originate from the streets or places not meant for human habitation, 80% will have been served in an emergency shelter in the community and 10% will be those who are fleeing domestic violence and/or human trafficking situations.

e. Ensure Long-term Housing Stability

Youth in this age range are often very reluctant to put their stake in the ground and start growing roots. They are explorers and ready to seek new and innovative opportunities for the development of their future. However, there are many youth who have finished their exploration and are ready to put down roots and settle in to stabilize. The goal is to work with each youth and know the difference. Long-term stability for the former may be six months in one place with the idea of moving on to a new adventure. Six months for them is stable. The latter seeks to find a way to set the feet in one place and stable can be one, two or more years. The staff will work with each youth to determine their desired level of stability and find the resources to assure them success in reaching their goal.

Employment stability, educational achievements and skill development in life domains are key to youth reaching successful stability. The case management will focus on plans to assess, monitor and evaluate each youth along their journey while coordinating services to help them reach their goals. For those who housing stability in the future is based on mistakes they may have made in the past, credit recovery, credit counseling, employment training and mediation by staff may be a first line of defense. For youth who have had progress in their financial literacy but lack the supports they need to care for themselves and their minor child, life skills trainings and access to child development and child care resource may be more helpful to meet their housing stability goal.

f. One-year Budget

Line Item	HUD – TH-RRH	Match	Total
Leasing assistance			
One bedroom units – 7 @ \$570 FMR	47,880		47,880
Two bedroom units – 4 @ \$753 FMR	36,144		36,144
Three bedroom unit – 1 @ \$993 FMR	11,916		11,916
Security deposits/first & last month rent	15,990		15,990
Sub-Total	111,930		111,930
Supportive Services			
Case Manager – 1 FTE	33,072		33,072
Fringe benefits - .0765 FICA	2,530		2,530
Insurances – Health/unemp/work comp	5,292		5,292
Mileage – 300 miles / mnth @ \$.58	9,048		9,048
Office supplies – \$35 / mnth (client files)	420		420
Staff phone – \$60 / mnth	720		720
Printing – forms, bus cards, leases, etc.	600		600
Apartment furnishing – \$250 / resident	6,000		6,000
Staff Development under RRH	920		920
Bus passes for residents	1,000		1,000

Sub-Total	59,602		59,602
Program Total	171,532		171,532
In-kind match		38,883	38,883
Cash match		4,500	2,500
Administration – 7% - METRO CD	9,005		9,005
		25% match	
GRAND TOTAL	180,537	42,883	223,420

Match will be secured through a combination of unrestricted agency funds, mainstream resource services received for youth through Genesee Health System, child care subsidies with MIDHHS, food subsidies with the MIDHHS bridge card (letters of agreement will be provided upon approval to submit a full application).

3. Organizational Philosophy

a. Best Practices

GCYC has been providing services to youth ages 10 through 21 and their families exclusively for more than forty years. With this history in youth services, the agency has built an internal system that mirrors the local continuum of care. Programming starts with outreach and recruitment of youth and families who need our help. Our street outreach team is active in the community to identify potential participants and build awareness of the services that are offered.

Youth in crisis have multiple options to choose from. They can participate in counseling services for up to 90 days if they are at risk of becoming homeless to prevent homelessness from occurring. For youth who have runaway or become homeless, a ten bed emergency shelter for youth ages 10 to 17 is available to provide support, housing and family reunification services to return the youth to their home if it is the safest place to go. Aftercare is provided for up to 180 days after shelter care.

Older youth ages 17 to 21 who are at risk of becoming or literally homeless, have the opportunity to enter a ten bed shelter or 4 bed scattered site apartment, for up to 21 months, to learn the skills necessary to find employment, finish their education and secure safe and stable housing. The program is transitional living in nature with the goal of helping youth move from homelessness to self-sufficiency.

The rapid rehousing is a natural extension of the work that is being done as a best practice in our existing array of services for youth. For youth who are in an emergency shelter, living on the streets and literally homeless, in need of stable housing, this rental assistance project with case management and skill development supports for a short term will end homelessness and create a bridge for the youth to long term stable housing options. Youth ages 18 to 25 will be offered the opportunity to sign a lease and receive housing quickly as they stabilize, get on their feet, secure or maintain employment and find private or public long-term housing. Aftercare to support the youth in their transition will be available for up to 180 days to support maintaining their housing.

As a key best practice, the GCYC has adopted a framework of Positive Youth Development (PYD) for the delivery of all of its youth services. The five core principles of PYD are: competence, confidence, connection, character and caring/compassion.

The practices that put these five principles into action define the work of the programs and complement a trauma informed care approach with a housing first model of care. These practices are as follows:

- **Competence**
 - ✓ Teach young people how to make their own appointments through modeling, role-play and supportive direction and feed-back.
 - ✓ Offer options for youth to make decisions regularly. Opportunities exist in normal day-to-day life where implementing a formal program is not required. Some examples: meal planning, learning how to shop on a budget, preparing food or going out to eat.
 - ✓ Give choices about case management and case planning. “Are you ready to wrap up or would you prefer to have more time?” “Would you like to finish your case plan today or would you prefer to complete it later?” “Have we selected the best day of the week for meeting for case management and check in’s?”
 - ✓ Remember that young people typically do not learn by adults “telling them things.” If a youth wants to make a choice that staff are concerned with, staff asks if they would be willing to identify the pros and cons of their decision prior to taking action. This demonstrates that staff trust the youth’s ability to make decisions and provides a method for them to use their critical thinking skills.

- **Confidence**
 - ✓ Ask youth to develop a list of “Things I do well”. See if they would be interested in teaching others a skill they are good at (cooking, taking public transportation, financial planning and budgeting, art, etc.) Carve out specific times when youth can teach one another new things.
 - ✓ Train young people to orient new youth to the program setting and how the service operates. They can serve as “ambassadors” for services. An adult can be present, for support, while youth learn how to do this and if needed to guide appropriate information sharing. Once youth have mastered this, ask them to teach it to someone else.
 - ✓ Ask youth to either assist in creating outreach materials or providing feedback about the relevance of the materials and likelihood of reaching the intended audience.
 - ✓ Provide opportunities for youth to use their voice with others. This can be as informal as a young person gathering youth for a youth advisory council meeting, sharing where it will take place and the topic that will be addressed.
 - ✓ Notice and reinforce when youth are using pro-social skills with staff and other youth. “I really appreciated you approaching me about your concerns in such a respectful, mature manner. That type of communication will make people want to listen to you.”

- **Connection**
 - ✓ Explore community activities where young people have the opportunity to work in large groups, dyads, triads to expand their social networks. Grouping allows young people to work with peers and others no like them they may not have selected on their own.

- ✓ Identify opportunities where young people can become part of the larger community by “giving back.” It may be volunteering at an assisted living program for the elderly. It can also be a letter writing campaign to service men and women or letters of support to sick children in hospitals.
- ✓ Identify peer to peer mentoring opportunities such as: older youth reading to younger youth or youth teaching a “where to find resources in this community” workshop.
- **Character**
 - ✓ Assist young people in identifying their strengths and how they have utilized them in their life.
 - ✓ Provide multiple opportunities for leadership development. Ask youth to identify two solutions for every problem they bring forward.
 - ✓ Train youth to get involved in community groups that give them a chance to co-facilitate meetings or activities.
 - ✓ Provide avenues for self-discovery and awareness; such as journaling, art projects, slam poetry nights, or methods to learn about their and others’ cultures.
 - ✓ Begin meetings with a ritual such as each youth sharing the following: “One way that I helped another person this day, week, month was by...”
- **Caring/Compassion**
 - ✓ Teach youth skills to care for themselves by setting boundaries and self-advocacy.
 - ✓ Teach youth how to resolve conflict with understanding and compassion.
 - ✓ Find out if there are any opportunities for youth to help animals in the community.
 - ✓ Role-play real scenarios that youth face. Demonstrate a hurtful response to the situation and then offer a positive empathetic response. Ask youth to process the role-play.
 - ✓ Notice and reinforce when youth are treating others in a kind/caring manner.

b. Evidence Based

GCYC utilizes several evidenced based practices for the delivery of services. Staff receive training in each of these practices that are applicable to their role in the agency. Additionally, staff are provided ongoing support for the implementation of these models of care and techniques in their day to day operations for their position. The evidence based models of practice include: Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, Harm Reduction Model, Motivational Interviewing, Ansell Casey Assessments, Solution Focused – Strength Based Care, and Brief Strategic Family Therapy.

c. Culturally Competent

Cultural competence is not diversity training, although diversity training is a component of being culturally competent. Being culturally competent begins with being open to both personal and institutional challenges of attitudes, beliefs and biases. These attitudes, beliefs and biases manifest in behaviors that demonstrate where a person or group is on the journey of becoming more culturally competent. As a result, the development of becoming cultural competent is an ongoing exercise of regular trainings, vulnerability to exploration of personal belief systems, exposure to relationships, materials, readings and environments of people unlike yourself, and learning discussions.

This principle is the foundation of the philosophy of the agency in employment practices, staffing practices, service delivery and community involvement. Staff are provided opportunities regularly to participate in activities that will broaden their scope of understanding and challenge their beliefs in becoming more competent. A well developing, culturally competent staff will provide the growth for the agency to being more culturally competent. These opportunities include learning interactions with populations representing: LGBTQ+ people, individuals from different racial groups, people of multiple faith expressions, age groups from small children to the elderly, and persons who have lived in differing socioeconomic backgrounds.

This translates into hiring practices and policies that are affirmative action, equal opportunity and non-discriminatory. It is further expressed in: doing outreach in the inner city of Flint and the rural areas of Lapeer Counties; participating in the annual Pride activities and partnering with Wellness Center to provide awareness and access of services to all people; having formal discussion groups at staff meetings and informal discussions over lunch regarding persons family backgrounds, living experiences, faith practices, holiday celebrations, etc. to learn from one another about how cultural impacts where we came from and who we are today; engagement as volunteers by staff and residents in the State of Michigan Special Olympics annual state games in Mount Pleasant; and staff and residents doing community volunteer events in diverse locations such as the Children’s Museum and a local senior assisted living center – providing cross cultural and age group interaction and activities.

d. Individualized Client Model

The agency is committed to creating an individualized treatment plan for each youth who seeks care. Although, many of the needs are similar from one youth to the next, the strengths, skills and abilities that each youth brings to the table is significantly different than the other. The strategies to take to meeting the individualized goals will look different for each youth. Staff will develop those skills with the youth so they are the author of the plan. The staff becomes the encouragement they need to coach them to get what they need, advocate for them, bring resources to help them and mediate on their behalf to break down barriers so they can move forward.

4. Compliance with CoC and HUD Performance Measures

Genesee County Youth Corporation has maintained compliance with all CoC and HUD performance measures with each of the grants it has been awarded. Through each of the City, County, MSHDA and HUD CoC ESG and TH grants, the programs funded have met or exceeded the performance measures as required by HUD.

In addition to the already approved performance measures, staff are committed to the ongoing development of community-wide performance measures in partnership with the CoC Continuous Quality Improvement committee.

5. Eligibility Criteria

a. Non-profit 501c3 organization

Genesee County Youth Corporation is a registered 501c3 not-for profit organization with the Internal Revenue Service. The GCYC federal EIN number is: 38-2299753.

b. Good Standing with CoC and HUD

The agency is in good standing with both the Genesee County Continuum of Care and HUD. The agency has no history of slow spending on its present or previous years Transitional Housing

HUD contract as a subrecipient with METRO Community Development. Additionally, the agency has no open monitoring issues and has not had any former monitoring concerns with HUD.

c. New Project Definition

Genesee County Youth Corporation (GCYC) is proposing to provide Transitional Housing – Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) rental assistance for unaccompanied youth of any gender expression, ages 18 up to their 25th birthday and their minor child(ren). GCYC proposes to serve 24 youth and up to 15 child(ren), for a total of 39 souls through the RRH project over the course of one year. This represents rental assistance will be provided through a proposed 12 units with 18 beds.

Youth served will be eligible for security deposit, first and last month’s rent and financial housing assistance services for up to one year in duration from the time of them signing their lease. Extensions of this year can take place under extenuating circumstances for up to three months with approval from the Director. Youth will be assessed a fee of 20% of their net take home pay for their portion of the rent of the apartment. The assessment of the fee will be revisited on a quarterly basis by the Case Manager and adjusted according changes in employment. Assessments can be as low as \$0.00 for unemployed youth at entry to a maximum of 25% of their monthly rent and utility costs.

Case management services will be provided at a minimum of twice per month face to face on site at the resident’s apartment. Additional case management touch points can take place as often as needed and designed within the individualized treatment plan. These additional sessions can take place in the staff office or via electronic means. Aftercare supports to assist youth to successfully maintain housing stability can take place for up to one year after rental assistance is completed.

Case management services will be designed specific to each youths needs based on their assessment upon entry to the program. Services may include but are not limited to: future housing plans, child care, employment assistance, educational supports, nutritional services, referrals for professional counseling and other mental health care, legal services, life skill training, transportation assistance, one time utility support and coordination of services with other providers and mainstream resources.

Staff will coordinate with the HARA, community partners and the coordinated entry system to identify youth who are in most need of housing assistance with a priority for youth who have resided in an emergency shelter or have been living on the streets.

d. Timeline for Implementation

As this is a new project, GCYC is proposing the following timeline for operationalizing the project after being awarded the contract:

Item to be Covered	Date Accomplished	Responsible Party
Hire and train Case Manager	Within 30 days	Program Coordinator and Executive Director
Build network to receive referrals: CES, HARA	Immediately	Program Coordinator
Complete program handbook	Within 45 days	Executive Director
Secure landlord commitments	Within 60 days (ongoing)	Program Coordinator and Case Manager

Participation in CoC CES committee	Within 60 days	Case Manager
Establish written policies and procedures, complete program forms and documentation	Within 75 days	Case Manager, Program Coordinator and Executive Director
Communicate readiness to accept residents into the program	Within 75 days	Case Manager, Program Coordinator and Executive Director
Interview and assess potential residents	Within 75 days	Case Manager
Begin move-in of first and subsequent residents	Within 90 days	Case Manager
Establish community relationships and partners to secure resources for resident success	Within 90 days	Case Manager and Program Coordinator
HMIS training for Case Manager	Within 120 days	Case Manager / HMIS Agency Administrator
Continue to build landlord relationships and secure commitments for future housing options	Within 120 days	Case Manager, Program Coordinator and Executive Director
Plan for the first residents to graduate and enter into aftercare services	Within 120 days	Case Manager
Evaluate progress year to date - data analysis, resident feedback, community feedback	180 days	Case Manager, Program Coordinator and Executive Director

6. Agency Characteristics

a. History of Implementation of CoC ESG Project

GCYC has been a successful recipient of ESG funding from the City of Flint, Genesee County, and MSHDA at the state level. With these diverse funding streams, GCYC has implemented youth specific ESG projects for more than 20 years. Although all of these funds originate through HUD, each of the governmental entities has had differing approaches to managing the funds and putting out requests for proposals to utilize the funds. In every situation, the agency has been compliant with the mandates of the funding from HUD, the funders requirements and reporting procedures. In fact, the agency has been a recipient of reallocated ESG funds from the city that had a short turn around to spend down, and successfully met compliance in using the funds as required.

b. Ability to Leverage Medicaid Resources

GCYC has an established relationship with Hamilton Health Clinic for existing programming for youth to receive, physicals and TB tests when they enter the program and ongoing medical support thereafter. The team at Hamilton are dedicated to assure that any youth who attends the clinic who is not insured completes the application process for Medicaid. This happens within the first 30 days of entry into the program. The Case Manager will work with each youth who applies for the program without insurance to connect with Hamilton within the first weeks of entry to complete the application process for Medicaid.

c. Ability to Leverage Mainstream Resources

In addition to the supportive services that will be provided by the Case Manager internally, staff will work with the youth to help them identify and access mainstream resources that will help them accomplish their individualized treatment goals if they have not already accessed them prior to entering the program. These may include, but are not limited to:

- mental health care – Genesee Health Systems (GHS);
- substance abuse treatment – Genesee Health Systems (GHS);
- employment supports – Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS);
- employment supports – Workforce Development – Michigan Works;
- food and nutrition – MI Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS) – bridge card;
- social security disability – SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR);
- child care – MI Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS);
- veterans services – Veteran Affairs (VA);
- health care – Medicaid and State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)

d. Ability to Implement Housing First Approach Projects

GCYC leadership is committed to assuring that the services provided to those experiencing homelessness are built on a Housing First Model. The implementation of this approach has begun with trainings at staff meetings over the past year at Traverse Place transitional living program. Confusion arises frequently with housing first and that is true with staff of GCYC. The first step in this training has been to respond to a couple of myths that exist.

- Myth #1 – Housing First means that you take anyone even if they are not in your service population.
- Answer # 1 – Staff have been assured that compliance with federal, state, and local regulations, licenses and contracts are still required.

- Myth # 2 – Housing First means we take anybody not matter what condition they are in.
- Answer # 2 – What it means is that we take youth who are eligible for the services we provide without condition of whether they have a history of a substance abuse disorder, a mental health disorder or criminal record that regulation would not allow access for. These needs become a part of our case management care. We work to screen youth into the program and not screen them out from getting help.

- Myth # 3 – Housing First means they get housing and nothing else – a set up for failure.
- Answer #3 – It does not imply housing only – it means housing first. Services come afterwards to help youth succeed. It also does not mean that youth do not have to comply with program guidelines. It means that guidelines are designed to help youth succeed not to punish them for making mistakes.

Staff of the programs have learned much and have implemented practices under the housing first model of care. Ongoing trainings, learnings and materials to help them understand the value of housing first approach will continue to be provided to support the practice.

e. PSH Provider

The GCYC does not provide PSH services under the definition of PSH recipients. However, the agency has operated for 22 years a HUD funded transitional living, congregate living 10 bed shelter for youth of any gender expression between the ages of 17 and 21. There are an additional 4 beds funded through the Federal DHHS funds for a total of 14 beds.

Genesee County Youth Corporation

Traverse Place

Renewal Project Description

Genesee County Youth Corporation has the capacity to operate and administer the renewal project of Traverse Place. The agency has a 42 year history of designing, implementing and managing programs to serve runaway and homeless youth and their families. The agency has never lost a grant or contract due to mismanagement and in fiscal year 2018/19 managed \$1.4 million in federal, state, county and city contracts for all of its programs.

Genesee County Youth Corporation has operated a ten bed emergency shelter for youth of any gender expression ages 10 to 17 since 1977, a ten bed transitional living shelter for youth of any gender expression ages 17 to 21 since 1998 and a four bed transitional living scattered site leasing assistance program for youth of any gender expression ages 18 to 21 since 2017.

The program is projecting to serve 22 unduplicated youth during the grant cycle.

The single largest barrier that are faced by the program is the number of youth who have interpreted the new Michigan recreational marijuana use law as one they can justify their personal drug use. The program has a zero tolerance policy for drug possession and use on agency managed property. We recognize that many youth in our population use, and we will work with them under a harm reduction model of care, but we cannot have it on the property. Many youth in the past have left the program for non-compliance as a result of violating this rule.

Funding Requested: The request from HUD is \$118,369, which represents \$57,000 in supportive services and \$61,369 in operation

GHS – Project Description – Summary/Operation Lease Up

GHS – Lease Up provides leasing assistance for a minimum of 36 scattered-site units of Tenant-Based Permanent Supportive Housing for 32 single adults and 4 families households, who are homeless and who have psychiatric illnesses and/or developmental disabilities and who may have co-occurring substance use or dependence disorders. As a permanent supportive housing program sponsored by Genesee Health System, Operation Lease Up targets persons, who are 'literally homeless', living on the streets, or in area shelters by providing leasing assistance and supportive services to this targeted population. Twenty-five percent (25%) of this population will meet the definition of 'chronic homelessness' as defined by HUD and as supported by the City of Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care. Outreach to identify clients eligible for this assistance will be accomplished in conjunction with the McKinney – Vento/ HUD/ SAMHSA/VA funded community-wide outreach programs targeted to provide outreach services to the homeless populations living on the streets, in area shelters, or in the domestic violence shelter and coordinated thru the Genesee County/City of Flint Coordinated Entry Process. Further, those households receiving HUD funded housing assistance will be linked to mainstream community mental health services, substance abuse treatment, and outside resources, such as Michigan Rehabilitative Services, DHHS, Hamilton Community Health Network, the Genesee Community Health Center, and the Greater Flint Health Coalition, Catholic Outreach, Salvation Army, and GCCARD, well as to individual services provided under the SOAR Across Michigan Initiative (Social Security, SSDI, SSI – Outreach, Accessibility, and Recovery) and the State Integration Model (SIM Project).

GHS – Project Description – Summary/Rapid Re-Housing

Rapid Re-Housing provides rental assistance and supportive services to individuals and families for up to twelve (12) months and based on a review of individual circumstances for a term of up to twenty-four (24) months. Two years ago, Rapid Re-Housing Program provided housing for 40 family households consisting of 141 persons of which 44 were adults and 97 were children. As this program is being transferred to a new provider, 6 families are currently being served with an additional 16 single adults and 6 families being transitioned into the program.

The original plan for Rapid Re-Housing indicated that the program would provide rental assistance and supportive services for 22 total family households, who were 'literally' homeless at the time they were enrolled into the program. The proposed restructuring will provide housing and services to more than 28 total households consisting of at least 16 individuals and 12 family households. Outreach to identify clients eligible for this assistance will be accomplished in conjunction with the McKinney – Vento/HUD/SAMHSA/VA funded programs targeted to provide outreach services to homeless populations living on the streets, in area shelters, or in the domestic violence shelter and coordinated thru the Genesee County/City of Flint Coordinated Entry Process.

Those households receiving HUD Rapid Re-Housing assistance will be linked to mainstream community mental health services, substance abuse treatment, and outside resources, such as Michigan Rehabilitative Services, DHHS, Hamilton Community Health Network, the Genesee Community Health Center, the Greater Flint Health Coalition, Catholic Outreach, Salvation Army, and GCCARD, well as to individual services provided under the SOAR Across Michigan Initiative (Social Security, SSDI, SSI – Outreach, Accessibility, and Recovery) and the State Integration Model (SIM Project).

Shelter of Flint

Shelter of Flint is pleased to provide the following program summaries for renewal funding consideration. The funding requested maintains existing service and funding levels. Thank you!

Permanent Supportive Housing

Through our permanent supportive housing program, Shelter of Flint offers services to people with physical and mental disabilities. Clients receive supportive services to help them move also a continuum of care and assist them in becoming and remaining self-sufficient through structured, caring case management. Case Managers ensure that children are enrolled in school and receive educational services, as appropriate, and ensure access to resources such as job and life skills training, substance abuse treatment and prevention, mental health counseling, health/home health services, and reliable childcare and transportation.

Chronic Homeless

10 units (21 beds available)

Support requested: \$93,940

\$79,029 for leasing

\$14,911 for supportive services

In the last program year, Chronic Homeless Families housed 8 households consisting of 22 persons: 10 adults and 12 children. The average length of stay for clients in the last program year was 996 days for leavers and 1,302 days for stayers. During the program year, one family of four exited, moving to live with family.

COC Lease Up/Rosewood Park

51 units (110 beds available)

Support requested: \$261,839

\$163,736 for leasing (COC Lease Up only)

\$80,613 for supportive services

\$17,490 for operations (Rosewood Park only)

In the last program year, Rosewood Park/Lease Up programs housed 59 households consisting of 101 persons: 67 adults and 34 children. The average length of stay for clients in the last program year was 1,333 days for leavers and 1,191 days for stayers. Of those leaving the program, 57% exited to other permanent housing. This number is markedly lower this program year than in previous years. In the prior year, 84% exited to permanent housing. In the case of this program year, households exiting the program returned to living with family.

Community Lease Up

12 units (22 beds available)

Support requested: \$108,314

\$97,735 for leasing

\$10,579 for supportive services

In the last program year, Community Lease Up housed 16 households consisting of 49 persons: 20 adults and 29 children. The average length of stay for clients in the last program year was 518 days for leavers and 756 days for stayers. One adult head of household was institutionalized (jail/prison). This client had six children. As a result of this occurrence, the positive destination exit rate is only 18% in this program year. In the prior year, the positive destination rate was 93%, and we anticipate that a comparable exit rate will return in the next year.

Shelter of Flint

Shelter of Flint is pleased to provide the following program summaries for renewal funding consideration. The funding requested maintains existing service and funding levels. Thank you!

Rosewood Manor

16 units (40 beds available)

Support requested: \$62,187
\$33,657 for supportive services
\$28,530 for operations

In the last program year, Rosewood Manor housed 16 households consisting of 33 persons: 20 adults and 13 children. The average length of stay in the last program year was 1,917 days for leavers and 1,723 days for stayers. Four households exited to permanent housing.

SOF Lease Up

14 units (30 beds available)

Support requested: \$115,895
\$96,895 for leasing
\$19,000 for supportive services

In the last program year, SOF Lease Up housed 14 households consisting of 33 persons: 16 adults and 17 children. The average length of stay for SOF Lease Up clients in the last program year was 1,483 days for leavers and 1,310 days for stayers. Of those leaving the program, 100% exited to other permanent housing.

Veteran's Lease Up

12 units (17 beds available)

Support requested: \$105,279
\$79,818 for leasing
\$25,461 for supportive services

In the last program year, Veterans Lease Up housed 11 households consisting of 16 persons: 12 adults and 4 children. The average length of stay for clients in the last program year was 1,680 days for leavers and 1,124 days for stayers. Of those leaving the program, 100% exited to other permanent housing.

Metro Community Development Renewal Project Descriptions

Outreach

Through the Outreach Program, Metro Community Development, offers services to individuals and families who are experiencing or at risk of homelessness. The outreach coordinator works with other outreach providers throughout the Genesee County are to identify those who are in need of services. The goal of outreach is to provide housing focused outreach services including referrals to providers who offer needed services throughout the local community that would allow the individual or family to obtain and maintain housing stabilization.

Funding requested: \$107,217.00

Coordinated Entry

Through the Coordinated Entry Program, Metro Community Development, offers services to individuals and families who are experiencing or at risk of homelessness. The goals of Coordinated Entry are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present. The Flint/Genesee County geographical area lacks the resources needed to meet all of the needs of people experiencing homelessness. Individuals or families experiencing homelessness often face long waiting times to receive assistance or are screened out of needed assistance. The Coordinated entry process helps prioritize assistance based on vulnerability and severity of service needs to ensure that people who need assistance the most can receive it in a timely manner. Coordinated entry process also provides information about service needs and gaps to help communities plan their assistance and identify needed resources.

Metro Community Development is also looking to expand the current Coordinated Entry Program to ensure that Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking Survivors are provided the opportunity to obtain needed housing services. The goal of the expanded Coordinated Entry will be to provide trauma informed care training, safety planning training, and a variety of other training geared towards conducting the common assessment with a survivor without re-traumatizing the survivor.

Funding requested: \$74,374.00 + DV Bonus \$50,000 = \$124,374.00

HMIS

The U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD), Veteran Affairs (VA), Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) and a variety of other funding sources mandate the use of an HMIS. Without the use of the HMIS the Flint/Genesee County CoC would place approximately 3 million dollars of funding in jeopardy. The collection of all Universal Data Elements as stated in the HMIS Data Standards published by HUD is mandatory for all HMIS Participating Agencies/Users throughout the Flint/Genesee CoC. The WellSky HMIS Platform de-duplicates client data to ensure accurate reporting on each person who

experiences homelessness throughout the CoC. The CoC is able to track a homeless individual's pathway to housing stabilization through the HMIS. Currently, the majority of Emergency Shelter, Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-Housing service providers throughout the Flint/Genesee CoC utilize the HMIS Data. However, only Metro Community Development offers the technical assistance and licensing required for agencies and users locally.

Funding requested: \$89,577.00

CoC Planning

The CoC Planning project is utilized to assist the CoC as a collaborative body. Through technical assistance, training and strategic planning the plight of homelessness in Flint and Genesee County will be addressed. Dedicated staff and time will be utilized to analyze results and systems to streamline CoC processes and projects, to ensure the most efficient approach to addressing homelessness in Flint/Genesee County is executed.

Funding requested: \$107,000

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: v CoC Lease Up (44)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 44

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="38"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/> out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="3.57"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="67.86"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="20"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			83	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="100.00%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="110.33%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="98%"/>	<input type="text" value="20.0"/> out of	20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	<input type="text" value="41"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			90	out of 90
TOTAL SCORE			268	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			93	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 278,092"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		<input type="text" value="\$ 278,092"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 267,834"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 267,834"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="100%"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V Traverse Place (484) (8)
 Organization Name: Genesee County Youth Corporation
 Project Type: TH
 Project Identifier: 8

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Transitional Housing	On average, participants stay in project 110 days	<input type="text" value="161"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Transitional Housing	25% move to PH	<input type="text" value="48.71"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="10"/> %	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="50"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="21.05"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			80	out of 100
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Transitional Housing	2% of participants meet CoC's TH targeting criteria	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 50% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="50"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40	out of 40
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			10	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE			150	out of 170
Weighted Rating Score			88	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 126,654"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 126,654
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 126,654"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 126,654"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="100%"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ Rosewood Manor (1485) (23)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 23

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="10"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/> out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="12.5"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="8.75"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="40"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			93	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="100.00%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="78.13%"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="94%"/>	<input type="text" value="20.0"/> out of	20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	<input type="text" value="34"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			75	out of 90
TOTAL SCORE			263	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			91	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 68,071"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		<input type="text" value="\$ 68,071"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 66,359"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 65,530"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="99%"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: v Lease Up Supportive Housing (591) (9)
 Organization Name: Genesee Health System
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 9

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	17 days	20	out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	72 %	0	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	11 %	18	out of 18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	4 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	28 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	7.1 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	21.43 %	10.0	out of 10
Performance Measures Subtotal			68	out of 103

SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	Yes	20	out of 20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	51 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	Yes	25	out of 25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75

OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	Yes	20	out of 20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	95.09%	15	out of 15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	100.00%	15	out of 15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	94%	20.0	out of 20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	78	20	out of 20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			90	out of 90

TOTAL SCORE			253	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			88	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>			\$ 372,187
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)				
Amount of private funding				
TOTAL PROJECT COST				\$ 372,187
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>			\$ 349,972
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>			\$ 329,972
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year				94%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V Lease Up (8569) (21)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 21

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Length of Stay					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="2"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/>	out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/>	out of	25
Returns to Homelessness					
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/>	out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="7.69"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/>	out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="15.83"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/>	out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="10"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/>	out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="50"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/>	out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			103	out of	103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/>	out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of	20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/>	out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/>	out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/>	out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of	75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA					
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/>	out of	20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="101.72%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/>	out of	15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="92.31%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/>	out of	15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="100%"/>	<input type="text" value="20.0"/>	out of	20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	<input type="text" value="33"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/>	out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			90	out of	90
TOTAL SCORE			288	out of	288
Weighted Rating Score			100	out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 123,042"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		<input type="text" value="\$ 123,042"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 117,557"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 117,557"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="100%"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ CHI Families (10286) (16)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 16

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="5"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/> out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			63	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="100.00%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="63.64%"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="100%"/>	<input type="text" value="20.0"/> out of	20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	<input type="text" value="22"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			75	out of 90
TOTAL SCORE			233	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			81	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 99,940"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		<input type="text" value="\$ 99,940"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 89,000"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 89,000"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="100%"/>

MI 0344 L5F 051 704
 SHELTER OF FLINT – VETERANS
 LEASE UP

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: v Veteran Lease Up (10535) (25)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 25

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	60 days	20	out of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	11 %	18	out of 18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	27.27 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	10.0	out of 10
Non employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Performance Measures Subtotal			83	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	Yes	20	out of 20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	25	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	51 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	Yes	25	out of 25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	Yes	20	out of 20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	9.44%	15	out of 15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	89.58%	15	out of 15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	100%	20.0	out of 20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	16	20	out of 20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			90	out of 90
TOTAL SCORE			268	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			93	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 111,335
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 111,335
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 111,335
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 96,335
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		87%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ Community Lease-Up (9240) (17)
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.
 Project Type: PSH
 Project Identifier: 17

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="1"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="17.65"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 11% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/> out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="15.38"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="23.08"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			58	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 2% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
PSH - Bed Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="100.00%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="81.67%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
PSH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="98%"/>	<input type="text" value="20.0"/> out of	20
PSH - Number of Clients Served	0.9	<input type="text" value="49"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			90	out of 90
TOTAL SCORE			243	out of 288
Weighted Rating Score			84	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 115,189
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 115,189
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 113,351
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 113,351
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ GHS Rapid Rehousing (45)
 Organization Name: Genesee Health System
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 45

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 29 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text" value="49"/> days	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	78% move to PH	<input type="text" value="86.75"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 25% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="11"/> %	<input type="text" value="18"/> out of	18
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="8"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="16"/> %	<input type="text" value="10.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			73	out of 103
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Rapid Re-Housing	Assessment score for 2% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			20	out of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 51% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="51"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
RRH - Bed Utilization Rate	0.85	<input type="text" value="159.13%"/>	<input type="text" value="15.0"/> out of	15
RRH - Units Utilization	0.85	<input type="text" value="100%"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
RRH - Data Quality	0.92	<input type="text" value="9741%"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			70	out of 70
TOTAL SCORE			238	out of 268
Weighted Rating Score			89	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 272,495"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 272,495
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 242,723"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	<input type="text" value="\$ 127,414"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		52%



Sub-Committees, H.A.R.A., Work Groups

Mission: A community working together to achieve access to safe and affordable housing for all residents of Genesee County.

Monthly Report Submissions

August 14, 2019 Meeting

Reports Received:

Housing Assessment and Resource Agency (H.A.R.A.)

HMIS Agency Administrator

Continuous Quality Improvement

Coordinated Entry System (CES)

By-Name List (BNL)

Social Security Outreach Accessibility and Recovery (SOAR)

Governance Implementation

Interagency Service Team (IST)

Fiscal WorkGroup

No Report:

Rescheduled / Cancelled Meeting/ No Meeting

Veteran Stand Down

Legislative Action / ID Taskforce/Veterans SD

Engagement

HARA Report (July 2019)

Walk-ins

1,146

Calls

859

Sack lunches provided

1,000

New mailboxes opened

47

Referrals (food/clothing/personal needs) provided

461

Housing resources packets provided

49

Rapid Rehousing & Prevention (individuals assisted)

May: 25 June: 88

*May/June data added after July CoC meeting and emailed to Tracey Jackson for filing with reports
July information to be added*

Emergency Motel Stays

78 nights

Notes:

- One Stop Staff
 - Call Center Team – Marsha Giddens and Arlene Muggins
 - ESG Team – Jennifer Couch (FT) and Tasha Donald (PT)
 - PATH Outreach Team – Chad Adams (FT); PT position vacant
- Job opening: PATH Outreach Assistant (part time, 25 hours per week)
- We will be contacting the shelters to get back on a rotation to provide ESG services within the shelters.
- One Stop walk in hours have changed. The call center is accessible 9 to 5 (M-F), and walk-in hours are 9 to 2 (M-F). Appointments with case managers may be scheduled from 2 to 5 (M-F).
- We are working with Jeff Cook and his team to bring a MDHHS worker back to One Stop. This could happen within the next month. Stay tuned for details!
- In process: staff training sessions (including combined after-hours services training with OS and ES team); reconfiguration of 1st floor office space to make vendor space more user friendly; dedicated 24/7 phone number for outreach; sack breakfast; possible Saturday hours (once per month?)
- We look forward to providing an updated HARA training/presentation to the CoC later this year!

Submitted by: Liz Ruediger, Shelter of Flint (LRuediger@shelterofflint.org)

Monthly Subcommittee Report Out Form

Date: 8/13/2019

1. Subcommittee Name: HMIS Agency Administrator
2. a) Chair Name: Vonda Willey b) Co-chair Name: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)
3. Purpose: To review data quality standards, discuss upcoming HMIS changes, and discuss upcoming reporting requirements
4. a) Meeting Date: 8/1/2019 b) Frequency: Monthly

Attendees: Vonda Willey, Sydney Weycker, Athena Gardner-Michael, Angela Willie

5. Goal (short/long term): Long Term Goals: 95% quality data reporting, 100% data entry within seven (7) days, 100% on-time reporting
6. Outcomes:

- a. Quantitative: See tables below

July 2019

Overall Unduplicated	Single Adults	Adults in Families	Children in Families	Number of Families	Unaccompanied Youth
902	428	154	303	132	23

July 2018

Overall Unduplicated	Single Adults	Adults in Families	Children in Families	Number of Families	Unaccompanied Youth
914	370	183	355	157	30

June 2019

Overall Unduplicated	Single Adults	Adults in Families	Children in Families	Number of Families	Unaccompanied Youth
892	410	152	305	130	31

June 2018

Overall Unduplicated	Single Adults	Adults in Families	Children in Families	Number of Families	Unaccompanied Youth
960	400	188	367	171	32

- b. Qualitative: According to the HMIS Data Completeness Report Card the average score for all HMIS Participating Providers is a 97.45%.

Monthly Subcommittee Report Out Form

Date: 8/13/2019

7. Observations, comparisons/Trends: We did not report out on the Number of Veterans served or the Number of Veterans Housed as we have noted a discrepancy on the HMIS Report that we are currently trying to correct. When pulling the HMIS Veteran Report it lists all members of a household instead of just counting the Veteran.

Monthly Subcommittee Report Out Form

Date: 8/14/19

1. Subcommittee Name: Governance Work Group
2. a) Chair Name: Liz Ruediger b) Co-chair Name: Nikola Lucic
3. Purpose: Draft and implement governance charter to oversee the CoC moving forward.
4. a) Meeting Date: Click or tap to enter a date. b) Frequency: varies
Attendees: Last meeting date and attendance recorded in previous report.
5. Goal (short/long term): Work collectively to construct a living governance charter to oversee how the CoC will work moving forward.
6. Outcomes:
 - a. Quantitative: Click or tap here to enter text.
 - b. Qualitative: Click or tap here to enter text.
7. Observations, comparisons/Trends: Next Meeting: Friday, August 16 from 9:30 to 11:30 at Shelter of Flint

Coordinated Entry Implementation Meeting

Date: 08/06/2019

1. Subcommittee Name: Coordinated Entry Implementation
2. a) Chair Name: Jim Perlaki b) Co-chair Name: Sydney Weycker
3. Purpose: Implement the Coordinated Entry process in a seamless way to ensure that the most vulnerable individuals in the community are housed first. Develop a set of rules and manuals to follow for properly administering the Coordinated Entry Assessment (CESA), create a process to prioritize individuals, properly market/advertise the coordinated entry system to community, and assist providing support and training for agencies.
4. a) Meeting Date: 08/06/2019 b) Frequency: Monthly

Attendees at 07/09/2019 – Chair; Jim Perlaki (GCYC), Co-Chairperson; Sydney Weycker (Metro Community Development), Liz Ruediger (Shelter of Flint/HARA), LaToya Cannon (Shelter of Flint), Tracey Jackson (Metro Community Development), Ashnee Young (Metro Community Development), Vonda Willey (Metro Community Development), Maurice Bush (Wellness Services), Jodi Blair (GHS), Kyle Lancaster (Legal Services of Eastern Michigan), Trina Sanders (YWCA)

Note: Next Coordinated Entry Implementation Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, September 3, 2019 9am to 11am at Shelter of Flint.

5. Goal (short/long term):
 - a. Short term: Review proposed policies drafted by individual group members to add further explanation on how the coordinated entry process should be executed.
 - b. Long term: Creation of a cohesive no wrong door entry plan for individuals experiencing homelessness and prioritization plan for those individuals to ensure highest vulnerability is housed first. To end the cycle of homelessness for our community.
6. Outcomes:
 - a. Quantitative: Five more partners were in attendance at this month's meeting compared to previous months.

b. Qualitative: There was additional input in this month's discussion as a result of the higher attendance turnout. It was decided that the VI SPDAT is going to be done at the initial point of contact before the referral is made to another agency. It's the responsibility of the referring agency to communicate the VI SPDAT score.

7. Observations, Comparisons/Trends: We are meeting regularly and discussion on how add more detailed processes is being addressed consistently by the group. There has been a lot of great discussion on changes that need to be made to the current policies and procedures for the Coordinated Entry System.

The Coordinated Entry Implementation Meeting took place on August 6. The group looked over changes presented by Ashnee and Sydney to the policies document, sections I A & B and IV B. The group decided that for now the VI SPDAT will be done at the initial point of contact along with the CESA, then an appropriate referral will be made. When a client presents an email should be sent to Sydney, and she will maintain the QNL list for the time being.

Thank you for your support.



Fiscal Committee Report

July 28, 2019

Location: SoF- Cedar Street

Committee Chair: Kasie White, LMSW

Committee Members: Liz Ruediger, Jim Perlaki, Kevin Miller, Tiffani Ferrier

Attendees: MCD team

1. Overview

Over the past year, the focus has been on ensuring the continued momentum of the GC-CoC through transparent fiscal reporting. The next step in this processes was to form a Fiscal Committee to review in depth monthly the financial documents from the funded organizations & the Lead Agency (MCD). This process allowed for each document to be reviewed and a question and answer time was allotted for each document. Also discussed were ways to increase awareness around CoC activities through financial support from outside the traditional network.

1. Engagement Committee: Discussion around ways to support the overall activities of the CoC and not exclusive to PCC, PIT and crisis response. Tracey shared some additional conversations as a follow up to the UW discussion at the July CoC of a financial commitment of support.

Current balance: \$550

- Follow up discussion around letters sharing ways to support the work happening at this level prior to the Chair departure from the position. A plan is in the works to create a fund development process in Sept- November.
- Discussion around the GCYC cards as a resource for clients and providers. What was the cost of printing the Yellow Books under Resource Genesee and what would be the commitment of producing something similar.

2. TBRA- Youth 18-24 housed at MCD. Currently serving roughly 5 youth and 7 on the waitlist. Expected to spend remained of funds.

- Youth are currently housed at Kings Lane, Maple Ridge and Birchshire

3. City of Flint- MSHDA ESG

- In-depth discussion around the 2016-17 funds that will be returned if not allotted additional extension. KM did not believe this would be granted.
 - GCCARD had the largest funds to be reprogrammed @ \$70,500
 - LSEM had \$7,520 reprogrammed
 - No program capacity was reported
 - GCCARD had no payment requests to the City for this fiscal year.
- 2018-19 Funds are on track to be spent based on past capacity. Discussion around the spending of other grant funds prior to billing for City Fiscal 18-19 year. KM discussed that the City new process required a submission of billing monthly even if there was no spendature of dollars.



4. ESG MSHDA- SoF

- Funds on track to be spent. Discussion around spending of other funds prior to billing for MSHDA funds. LR also discussed the support dollars Genesee County received to assist GHS in the re-allocation of the Community Housing funds. The \$30,000 received to support those families is on track for spending in July.

HUD- MCD (Lead Agency)

- In-depth discussion around the HUD RR funds and FOH funds that have gone under spent.
- FOH- had 83.99% of grant remaining... Balance as of this meeting \$61,340.92 to return to HUD
- MCD-RR (former SoF-RR) \$115,308.53 to be recaptured
 - There has been ongoing discussion around this process at the full body for the past 4mths. SoF, MCD & GHS have come to the table and worked through a process that supported clients and each program as it transitioned to GHS for future work. There will be a transfer of client's cases that will involve a meet & great between the SoF CM, the new GHS-CM & the client. This is to ensure a smooth transition of services and to alleviate any confusion moving forward.
 - Thank you to ALL of the partners coming to the table to ensure that the process moved forward in support of our organizations and our clients served.
- Moving forward it was discussed that reviews will be happening within the 1st quarter of the grant to ensure all parties are on track for spending at the Fiscal Committee Level.
- If there are ways to support the organization to ensure the effective use of dollars

Requested programs for discussion at the Fiscal Committee:

1. PATH grant- SoF
2. All direct funding recipients
3. GC-CoC Homeless endowment fund

HUD Nofa- Prioritization tool- Fiscal Committee will review the tool in-depth with TJ. The Fiscal Committee will meet on August 9, 2019 930-1130am SoF.

- GHS will also be invited to attend this meeting.
- Fiscal Committee established the threshold for the CoC in compliance with HUD guidelines. This tool was shared and discussed at the full body since June.

CoC- Assessment- discussion around assessment of the LEAD agency and HARA.

- What would this look like?
- What tools have been used in other communities?
- This will be discussed in greater detail at the EC and with the full body in September

Submitted respectfully,

Kasie White, LMSW
Interim Executive Director



Fiscal Spending Report

Mission: A community working together to achieve access to safe and affordable housing for all residents of Genesee County.

Fiscal Spending Submissions

August 14, 2019 Meeting

Reports Received:

HUD

MSDHA

City of Flint

Genesee County

No Report:

SAMARITAN PLUS (GCCARD)

SHELTER PLUS CARE (GHS)

Flint/Genesee County 2018/2019 HUD Grant Spending Report as of JUNE 30, 2019

Red: concern/discussion Yellow: Caution Green: on track

Start Month	Agency/Project Name	Grant #	Funds Req Type	HUD AWARD	Disbursed (eLOCSS)	Balance (eLOCSS)	Months into grant	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining	# of mths remaining	Est mthly \$ to meet reqs.	Avg Mthly Reimbursement	Notes
APR 2019	MCD- Homeless Outreach	0147-810	supp svc	\$100,203.00	\$13,408.87	\$86,794.13	4	13.38%	86.62%	8	\$10,849.27		
			admin	\$7,014.00	\$1,733.50	\$5,280.50		24.71%	75.29%		\$660.06		
			Total	\$107,217.00	\$15,142.37	\$92,074.63		14.12%	85.88%		\$3,785.59		
	SOF- Chronic Homeless Families	0343-804	supp svc	\$14,911.00	\$3,921.64	\$10,989.36	4	26.30%	73.70%	8	\$1,373.67		
			leasing	\$79,029.00	\$21,189.80	\$57,839.20		26.81%	73.19%		\$7,229.90		
			admin	\$6,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$4,500.00		25.00%	75.00%		\$562.50		
	Total	\$99,940.00	\$26,611.44	\$73,328.56	26.63%	73.37%		\$9,166.07	\$6,652.86				
	SOF - Veterans Lease Up	0344-805	supp svc	\$25,461.00	\$7,642.95	\$17,818.05	4	30.02%	69.98%	8	\$2,227.26		
			leasing	\$79,818.00	\$25,522.00	\$54,296.00		31.98%	68.02%		\$6,787.00		
			admin	\$6,056.00	\$1,514.00	\$4,542.00		25.00%	75.00%		\$567.75		
	Total	\$111,335.00	\$34,678.95	\$76,656.05	31.15%	68.85%		\$9,582.01	\$8,669.74				
	MCD-Coordinated Entry	0613-800	supp svc	\$69,509.00	\$9,296.75	\$60,212.25	4	13.37%	86.63%	8	\$7,526.53		
admin			\$4,865.00	\$1,216.25	\$3,648.75	25.00%		75.00%		\$456.09	\$6,197.00 quarterly		
Total			\$74,374.00	\$10,513.00	\$63,861.00	14.14%		85.86%		\$7,982.63	\$4,648.38		
SOF - CoC Lease Up	0143-710	ops	\$16,500.00	\$16,500.00	\$0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00			
		supp svc	\$80,613.00	\$80,613.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00			
		leasing	\$158,534.44	\$158,534.44	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	Budget line item approval		
		admin	\$16,253.00	\$16,253.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00			
Total	\$271,900.44	\$271,900.44	\$0.00	100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$25,564.74					
SOF - Rosewood Manor	0150-710	ops	\$30,410.30	\$30,410.30	\$0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00			
		supp svc	\$31,776.70	\$30,947.21	\$829.49		97.39%	2.61%		\$829.49			
		admin	\$4,172.00	\$4,172.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00			
Total	\$66,359.00	\$65,529.51	\$829.49	98.75%	1.25%		\$829.49	\$6,817.50					
GCYC Transitional Living	0144-710	ops	\$55,869.00	\$55,869.00	\$0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00			
		supp svc	\$62,500.00	\$62,500.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$4,766.81 HUD review		
		admin	\$8,285.00	\$8,285.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$29,479.40 HUD review		
Total	\$126,654.00	\$126,654.00	\$0.00	100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$10,760.82					

Start Month	Agency/Project Name	Grant #	Funds Req Type	HUD AWARD	Disbursed (eLOCCS)	Balance (eLOCCS)	Months into grant	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining	# of mths remaining	Est mthly \$ to meet reqs.	Avg Mthly Reimbursement	Notes
	MCD - CoC Planning	0576-700	Coc planning	\$50,000.00	\$50,000	\$0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00		
	7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019		admin	\$0.00	0	\$0.00		100.00%	100.00%		\$0.00		
	Total			\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$4,545.45	\$12,500 quarterly
	FOH	363-707	supp svc	\$73,032.00	\$11,691.08	\$61,340.92	12	16.01%	83.99%	1	\$61,340.92		
	7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019		admin	\$5,112.00	\$5,112.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00		
	Total			\$78,144.00	\$16,803.08	\$61,340.92		21.50%	78.50%		\$61,340.92	\$1,062.83	
	SoF - Lease UP	0314-706	supp svc	19,000.00	19,000.00	0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00		
	8/1/2018 - 7/31/2019		leasing	91,410.00	91,410.00	0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00		pending budget line transfer
	Total			7,147.00	7,147.00	0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$11,041.00	
	Total			117,557.00	117,557.00	0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$11,041.00	
	SoF - Community Lease Up	373-704	supp svc	\$10,579.00	\$10,469.70	\$109.30		98.97%	1.03%		\$109.30		
	8/1/2018 - 7/31/2019		leasing	\$95,597.00	\$89,528.00	\$6,069.00	12	93.65%	6.35%	1	\$6,069.00		
	Total			\$7,175.00	\$7,175.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$9,999.77	
	Total			\$113,351.00	\$107,172.70	\$6,178.30		94.55%	5.45%		\$6,178.30	\$9,999.77	
AUG 2018	GHS - Lease Up	0149-709	leasing	\$244,608.00	\$200,987.31	\$43,620.69		82.17%	17.83%		\$43,620.69		
	8/1/2018 - 7/31/2019		supp svc	\$73,548.00	\$49,879.11	\$23,668.89	11	67.82%	32.18%	1	\$23,668.89		June and July remain
	Total			\$31,816.00	\$31,816.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$25,086.64	
	Total			\$349,972.00	\$282,682.42	\$67,289.58		80.77%	19.23%		\$67,289.58	\$25,086.64	
	MCD - HMIS	0146-710	HMIS costs	\$85,747.00	\$85,747.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00		
	8/1/2018 - 7/31/2019		admin	\$3,830.00	\$3,830.00	\$0.00	12	100.00%	0.00%	1	\$0.00		
	Total			\$89,577.00	\$89,577.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	\$8,574.70	No balance historically
	MCD - RR	0447-703	rental assistance	185,580.00	91,244.24	94,335.76		49.17%	50.83%		\$47,167.88		
	8/1/2018 - 7/31/2019		supp serv	41,747.00	28,934.11	12,812.89	11	69.31%	30.69%	2	\$6,406.45		
	Total			15,396.00	7,236.12	8,159.88		47.00%	53.00%		\$4,079.94		
	Total			242,723.00	127,414.47	115,308.53		52.49%	47.51%		\$57,654.27		

City of Flint 2018/2019 HUD Grant Spending Report (Contract Term: 7/1/2017 - 2/28/2019)

Agency	Grant #	Funds Req Type	HUD AWARD	Disbursed (IDIS)	Balance (IDIS)	To Date		Spenddown		# of mths remaining	est mthly \$ to meet reqs.
						Months into grant	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining			
MBK	17-096	Shelter OPS	\$47,939.99	\$47,939.99	\$0.00	18	100.00%	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
	Total		\$47,939.99	\$47,939.99	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
SOF	17-100	Shelter OPS	\$208,350.00	\$208,350.00	\$0.00	24	100.00%	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
		HARA Case MGT	\$36,104.00	\$36,104.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
		HP	\$27,260.00	\$27,260.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
		RRH	\$30,080.00	\$28,023.71	\$2,056.29		93.16%	6.84%		\$2,056.29	
		Data Collection	\$19,740.00	\$19,535.69	\$204.31		98.96%	1.04%		\$204.31	
Total		\$321,534.00	\$319,273.40	\$2,260.60		99.30%	0.70%		\$2,260.60		
GCYC	17-095	Shelter OPS	\$89,300.00	\$89,300.00	\$0.00	18	100.00%	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
	Total		\$89,300.00	\$89,300.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
YWCA	17-097	Shelter OPS	\$47,940.00	\$47,940.00	\$0.00	18	100.00%	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
	Total		\$47,940.00	\$47,940.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
GCCARD	17-098	HP	\$70,500.00	\$0.00	\$70,500.00	18	0.00%	100.00%	0	\$70,500.00	
	Total		\$70,500.00	\$0.00	\$70,500.00		0.00%	100.00%		\$70,500.00	
LSEM	17-099	HP	\$7,520.00	\$0.00	\$7,520.00	18	0.00%	100.00%	0	\$7,520.00	
	Total		\$7,520.00	\$0.00	\$7,520.00		0.00%	100.00%		\$7,520.00	
JULY 2019											

Contract extended until June 30, 2019

Funds have been reprogrammed

Funds have been reprogrammed

City of Flint 2018/2019 HUD Grant Spending Report (Contract Term: 7/1/2018 -12/31/2019)

Agency	Grant #	Funds Req Type	HUD AWARD	Disbursed (IDIS)	Balance (IDIS)	To Date		Spenddown		# of mths remaining	est mthly \$ to meet reqs.
						Months into grant	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining			
MBK	18-089	Shelter OPS	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	11	100.00%	0.00%	6	\$0.00	
	Total		\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00		100.00%	0.00%		\$0.00	
SOF	18-091	Shelter OPS	\$97,250.00	\$76,919.12	\$20,330.88		79.09%	20.91%		\$2,258.99	
		HP	\$28,030.38	\$4,331.38	\$23,699.00	11	15.45%	84.55%	6	\$2,633.22	
		RRH	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00		0.00%	100.00%		\$3,333.33	
		Data Collection	\$21,473.50	\$0.00	\$21,473.50		0.00%	100.00%		\$2,385.94	
	Total		\$176,753.88	\$81,250.50	\$95,503.38		45.97%	54.03%		\$10,611.49	
GCYC	18-088	Shelter OPS	\$35,000.00	\$16,850.39	\$18,149.61	11	48.14%	51.86%	6	\$2,016.62	
	Total		\$35,000.00	\$16,850.39	\$18,149.61		48.14%	51.86%		\$2,016.62	
YWCA	18-090	Shelter OPS	\$25,000.00	\$14,583.31	\$10,416.69	11	58.33%	41.67%	6	\$1,157.41	
	Total		\$25,000.00	\$14,583.31	\$10,416.69		58.33%	41.67%		\$1,157.41	

JULY 2019

Start Date	Funder	Grant #	Agency	Award Amount	Billed	Balance	Months into grant	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining	# of mths remaining	est mthly \$ to meet reqs.
------------	--------	---------	--------	--------------	--------	---------	-------------------	------------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------------

Paid (through May 2019)

Oct 2018	MSHDA	ESG-2019-5101-5101-50	GCYC	\$23,872	\$14,631	\$9,241	8	61.29%	38.71%		
			My Brother's Keeper	\$23,872	\$23,872	\$0	8	100.00%	0.00%		
			Shelter of Flint	\$190,975	\$80,993	\$109,982	8	42.41%	57.59%		

June Billing received, not yet submitted via MATT (will wait for July billing)

Oct 2018	MSHDA	ESG-2019-5101-5101-50	GCYC		\$3,968						
			My Brother's Keeper	n/a	n/a						
			Shelter of Flint		\$14,935						

Total paid or billed through June 30

Oct 2018	MSHDA	ESG-2019-5101-5101-50	GCYC	\$23,872	\$18,599	\$5,273	9	77.91%	22.09%	3	\$1,758
			My Brother's Keeper	\$23,872	\$23,872	\$0	9	100.00%	0.00%	3	n/a
			Shelter of Flint	\$190,975	\$95,928	\$95,047	9	50.23%	49.77%	3	\$31,682

Note: SOF expenditures for July are on track to meet this monthly average needed to spend down grant

Re-allocated funds for GHS Community Housing

Oct 2018	MSHDA	HML-2017-Shelter-5101-ESF	GHS	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0		100.00%	0.00%	0	#DIV/0!
			SOF (admin)	\$960	\$960	\$0		100.00%	0.00%	0	#DIV/0!

Note: GHS invoice for balance is expected to be submitted August 1

Oct 2018	MSHDA	HML-2018-Shelter-5101-ESF	GHS	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0		100.00%	0.00%	2	\$0
			SOF (admin)	\$750	\$750	\$0		100.00%	0.00%	2	\$0

Note: GHS spending plan projects full spend down of this grant

Genesee County - 2018 ESG Agency Spending Report - as of 8/6/2019

Agency	Activity	HUD AWARD	Disbursed	Balance	% of grant spent	% of grant remaining	Contract End Date
MBK	Emergency Shelter	\$18,232.00	\$18,232.00	\$0.00	100.0%	0.0%	9/30/2019
Shelter of Flint	Emergency Shelter	\$18,232.00	\$18,232.00	\$0.00	100.0%	0.0%	9/30/2019
	Homeless Prevention	\$10,635.00	\$9,806.75	\$828.25	92.2%	7.8%	9/30/2019
	Rapid Rehousing	\$20,511.00	\$6,754.42	\$13,756.58	32.9%	67.1%	9/30/2019
Metro	HMIS	\$7,597.00	\$0.00	\$7,597.00	0.0%	100.0%	9/30/2019
	TBRA (2018 HOME Grant)	\$33,000.00	\$17,924.74	\$15,075.26	54.3%	45.7%	11/7/2019
GCCY	Emergency Shelter	\$27,349.00	\$13,305.52	\$14,043.48	48.7%	51.3%	9/30/2019
GCCARD	Homeless Prevention	\$10,635.00	\$0.00	\$10,635.00	0.0%	100.0%	9/30/2019
YWCA	Emergency Shelter	\$27,349.00	\$15,953.56	\$11,395.44	58.3%	41.7%	9/30/2019

Flint / Genesee County CoC Standing Meetings Calendar

MEETING	TIME	LOCATION	DATE	OPEN/CLOSED MTG
1st Week				
Continuum of Care Executive	1:00 PM-3:00 PM	Oak Business Center	1st Tuesday	CLOSED
Coordinated Entry System Homeless Management Information System Administrators	9:00 AM-10:30 AM	Shelter of Flint	1st Wednesday	OPEN
	2:00 PM- 2:30 PM	GCCARD	1st Thursday	OPEN
2nd Week				
Continuum of Care	9:00 AM-10:30 AM	GCCARD	2nd Wednesday	OPEN
By Name List	10:00 AM-11:00 AM	Shelter of Flint	2nd Thursday	CLOSED
Interagency System Team	11:00 AM-12:00 PM	Shelter of Flint	2nd Thursday	CLOSED
3rd Week				
Continous Quality Improvement	9:30 AM-11:00 AM	YWCA	3rd Monday	OPEN
Genesee County Community Collaborative	3:00 PM-4:30 PM	Red Cross of America	3rd Monday	OPEN
Quality By Name List	10:00 AM- 11:00 AM	Shelter of Flint	3rd Wednesday	CLOSED
4th/5th Week				
Fiscal Committee	9:00 AM-11:00 AM	Oak Business Center	4th/5th Wednesday	OPEN