

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It  
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

### Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

## **1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification**

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** Metro Community Development

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** Metro Community Development

## 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	No	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	No	No
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	No	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	No	No
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	No	No
14.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)



1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC invitation to “solicit new members to join” process consists of formal and informal strategies to advance our commitment to develop a diverse membership including, agencies and people. The newly adopted Governance Charter includes the CoC invitation strategy. The charter was approved by the CoC on January 12, 2022. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has consistently added new members with diverse perspectives. Our current members frequently invite their peer agencies to join. Expanding our Governance Council has also contributed to our year over year growth, with membership growing from 192 last year to 223 this year.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC ensures effective communication with individuals with disabilities by listening to feedback from our agencies that serve this demographic and adjusting accordingly. All Flint/Genesee County CoC communication offers accessible electronic formats.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC is unique in that our CoC is quite diverse in ethnicity, cultures, sexualities, gender identification, and disabilities. The Executive Committee and Governance Council are also diverse and is a solid representation of our clients and community. For the first time, two clients that are currently experiencing homelessness (from CoC membership organizations) agreed to represent the CoC in the role of diversity and inclusion facilitator for the state initiative on equity. Our monthly CoC meetings are lively, primarily due to the diversity and the openness to express all perspectives. We have multiple agencies that represent Black, Latino, LGBTQ+ and clients with disabilities. Our diverse membership and leadership include Black Indigenous People Of Color (BIPOC), LGBTQ+, diverse religious affiliation, housing insecurity experiences, and various age groups.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
	2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and	
	3. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The Flint/Genesee County CoC solicits and considers diverse opinions via our monthly CoC meetings, smaller subcommittee/ work-group meetings, Community Conversations, survey monkey, Google forms, and on occasion engaging consultants to help gain insights. Our CoC meetings average 50-plus community partners that attend regularly. The partners represent a broad array of organizations and diverse opinions including individuals that have experienced homelessness.

2. A consistent practice during the Flint/Genesee County CoC monthly meetings, Governance Council meetings, Executive Committee meetings, subcommittee meetings, community conversations, is to create an environment for sharing and reactions. Responses are encouraged, and time is allotted for discussion and next steps. The information is received by the facilitator of the specific meeting, shared with the appropriate group and used where applicable to improve the homeless response system. The Executive Committee is strategic and intentional on collecting and seeking information by utilizing surveys, interviews and consultants to gain insights to improve how homelessness is addressed in our community.

3.The Flint/Genesee County CoC has implemented suggestions based on solicited input from our MSHDA representative. For example, to enhance the QBNL to ensure clients are document ready when referred to housing, we implemented a 30 day review process of homeless verification. This process improved the timing of housing security and secured placement on the HCV list.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. On August 15, 2022, The Flint/Genesee County CoC made its formal local competition announcement and request for applications public by email sent to CoC Listserv, posting to Flint / Genesee County CoC, posting to the Genesee County and City of Flint websites. In the RFP, agencies that had not previously received CoC funding were encouraged to apply (if HUD and local CoC thresholds were met). Subsequently, two new agencies responded, unfortunately, the agencies could not move forward in the process.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC NOFO Announcement emailed to the community on August 15th included the details of the local competition. The RFP document included the type of projects to be submitted and the deadline for application submission. Local NOFO office hours were held by Zoom, as well as a NOFO timeline document was shared. Office hours were set aside to answer questions and assist project applicants with the process.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilized HUD and local CoC thresholds, ratings factors for renewals based on APR's, and the prioritization meeting outcome/vote to determine which projects would move forward for submission to HUD. This process included the entire community. The rating factors, the HUD and local CoC thresholds, and the rating and ranking tool were shared with the entire community prior to voting on projects. The agencies eligible to vote approved the rating and ranking on 8/31/22.
4. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC partners with disability network agencies to inform us when adjustments are needed for our clients with disabilities. The Flint / Genesee County CoC works alongside agencies that serve clients with physical and mental disabilities and offers guidance on effective communication formats. All NOFO Correspondence was disseminated electronically.

## 1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	No
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		
-----	--	--

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC consulted and worked in concert with ESG recipients to leverage the existing and newly created ESG-CV programing to prevent homelessness and to house those that currently face homelessness to meet the mission of the CoC. The Flint/Genesee County CoC leadership and lead agency consulted and planned with the ESG state, city and HARA recipients of CV funding. To ensure alignment the process included several interviews, meetings and presentations among the leadership to determine what projects fit into solving homelessness in our county.

2. The Executive Committee evaluated the current programming (applications) to ensure the projects support the agreed upon priorities while addressing gaps and housing the clients. The Flint/Genesee County CoC worked closely with ESG recipients and funders to address, minimize, and prevent the spread of COVID-19. Data from the Fiscal Work Group report, HMIS and Coordinated Entry were utilized. The ESG Program Recipients have all participated in one or more on the following policy driven committees: Coordinated Entry, Governance, and/or CQI.

3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC collaborates closely with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction, Genesee County Metropolitan Planning Commission (GCMPC), to ensure the Point-in-Time (PIT) and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data is provided. This data is also shared with the entire CoC community via email to the Listserv and posted to the CoC website.

4. The information was provided to the Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction via email to the Lead Planner and the Division Manager of GCMPC.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

As a partner in the Flint/Genesee County CoC, the Genesee Intermediate School District (GISD) continues outreach engages with Local Educational Agencies (LEA) and public-school academies consortium member's community on eligibility and identification for McKinney-Vento educational services. As a partner the Genesee Intermediate School District hosts ongoing in-person and virtual professional development for local school district, public school academy school employees and other community partners on outreach, identification and eligibility of educational McKinney-Vento support. The McKinney-Vento Specialist presents at numerous community meetings as well as served as a yearly presenter at the Michigan Department of Education Special Populations conference. The GISD has formal partnerships with four of the area's local family and youth shelters and a case management agency to provide educational support, supplies and services to the areas youth and families residing in area shelters. This includes Reach/Traverse Place, Shelter of Flint, Carriage Town Ministries, and YWCA.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes the Genesee Intermediate School District as a primary conduit to Local Educational Agencies (LEA) and public-school academies consortium members on the eligibility of and identification of homeless families for McKinney-Vento educational supports. The Genesee Intermediate School District provides written outreach materials such as the distribution of brochures, posters, at LEA's and Public-School Academies, Local homeless shelters and Community locations in the area. The Genesee Intermediate School district also provides outreach in community print advertisements.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program--(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors--Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
----	-------------------------------

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 2. | ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors. |
|----|--|

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC partners with national, state, and local domestic violence agencies, religious agencies, medical staff, trauma informed care, mental health, police, and with specialized outreach teams to bring safety and housing support. For example the YWCA and Legal Services of Eastern Michigan (LSEM) provides legal guidance and experience to influence CoC-wide policies.

2. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC uses several partners with state and local agencies, (e.g. GHS, YWCA, MCAH) to offer trauma informed care and encourages the community to participate in their trainings. The trainings from our partners are sent to the community via Listserv.

- |        |  |
|--------|--|
| 1C-5a. | Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. |
|--------|--|

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and |
| 2. | Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).       |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. YWCA of Greater Flint employees are required to attend a 40-hour training offered through the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCEDSV) upon hiring. This training provides a comprehensive foundation to ensure services are survivor-driven and trauma-informed. In addition, employees must complete 16-20 total hours of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, Human Trafficking, Stalking and Survivors Best Practices training yearly. The YWCA is committed to educating our community by providing Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, Human Trafficking, Stalking and Survivors Best Practices presentations as we work to change the societal norms, practices, and behaviors that allow or condone perpetration of abuse.

2. The Coordinated Entry staff which consists of one Coordinated Entry Specialist, one YWCA Housing Outreach Advocate and two Coordinated Entry Intake specialists worked closely with the YWCA staff and are advised on the appropriate safety and best practices. In addition, the CE staff receives case by case instruction from the designated YWCA contact. The Coordinated Entry staff also received Trauma Informed training through Genesee Health System in 2021. Training is offered bi-annually.

- |        |  |
|--------|--|
| 1C-5b. | Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. |
|--------|--|

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.



	Describe in the field below:
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The YWCA utilizes an HMIS comparable database, Empower DB, to collect and report de-identifying aggregate data. The database is compliant with both the Violence Against Women Act, which prohibits victim service providers to report identifying information and HUD reporting requirements. Throughout 2022 HUD and the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCEDSV) worked together to release a request for proposals and selected a database provider, Apricot, to create a more effective reporting system specific for domestic violence service providers. The Apricot database is expected to roll out in 2023.

2. The Flint Genesee County CoC utilizes the YWCA de-identified aggregate information along with the advice of the YWCA advocates to determine the most appropriate plan to address the most immediate and urgent need. The YWCA and Coordinated Entry teams operate as one unit to protect the identity and to move clients safely to housing stability.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County Emergency Transfer Plan was adopted by the Flint /Genesee County CoC in 2019. It is the authority utilized when DV clients are in crisis. In 2019 and 2021 there were two instances where the Emergency Transfer Plan (the VAWA) was emailed to leasing agencies to keep the DV client safely housed. Statistically, 1 in 4 women will experience domestic violence, more than 3 women are murdered by their partner each day, 1 in 5 women have been raped in their lifetime. And between 22%- 57% of women who are homeless report that intimate partner violence was the immediate cause of their homelessness. In addition, 80% of homeless mothers have experienced severe physical and or sexual abuse during their lifetime. We recognize the safety and confidentiality of victims is a priority.

2. The process for individuals and families is to request an emergency transfer plan starts with a request to the YWCA advocate or the agency that share the plan with the client. The plan is then shared with the appropriate parties and advised on how to implement the transfer successfully.

&nbsp;

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking work closely with Coordinated Entry, the HARA, and Outreach teams to communicate and facilitate all housing and services. The YWCA Housing Outreach Advocate position is solely dedicated to work with survivors to ensure all the necessary assessments (CESA/VI-SPDAT) are completed and submitted to the CE Specialist. The Housing Outreach Advocate coordinates with other service providers to address the specific needs of each survivor.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:

1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Coordinated Entry System team and the YWCA Housing Outreach Advocate make safety a priority. We recognize that every DV client has unique needs. Consequently, our case-by-case strategy ensures every DV client can safely and anonymously have choices in selecting housing. Examples of ensuring safety are: case managers locate housing with security at the entrance; approval for additional security systems; installation of steel doors; and in some cases bars on windows and screens.
2. The YWCA staff works with survivors to develop a comprehensive safety plan specific to their circumstances. Safety plans are revised as a survivor's circumstances change. For example, a survivor safety plan while in shelter will change as they move into housing.
3. Flint/Genesee County CoC agencies are prohibited from sharing any information about a survivor without their written consent. Survivors are informed that they are 100% in control of what information is shared, to whom the information is shared with, and what period of time the information can be shared. Survivors complete an authorization for release of information that includes the above-mentioned information.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+ Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	No
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC recognizes the importance of anti-discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals and their families. While the CoC has issued an anti-discrimination statement, there is no policy that has been put in place at this time. During the Governance Charter yearly review meeting in November 2022, an anti-discrimination policy will be written for review with approval anticipated in January 2023.

2. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC recognizes that an anti-discrimination policy is needed and will be addressed at the Governance Charter yearly review meeting. After the voting takes place and it is approved, it will become a part of the Standard Operating Procedures. At this time, during yearly monitoring visits, anti-discrimination and housing policies of the sub-recipients are examined. All sub-recipients have an anti-discrimination policy addressing the unique needs of LGTBQ+ individuals and families.

3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes yearly monitoring processes to evaluate compliance with anti-discrimination policies of the sub-recipients. During monitoring, internal policies are evaluated and compliance is ensured.

4. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC has not implemented a CoC-wide policy, however the Governance Charter has a statement explaining the CoC's zero-tolerance position on discrimination against LGTBQ+ individuals and families. The CoC has begun the process to create the Standard Operating Procedures and it will also be addressed in that document.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area—New Admissions—General/Limited Preference—Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Flint Housing Commission		Yes-Both	Yes
MSHDA	67%	Yes-HCV	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has a collaborative partnership with MSHDA relating to homeless admission preference. The representative assigned to our CoC is involved and contributes regularly with insights gained from the knowledge of working with other CoCs. The HARA, the CoC Lead Agency, and Coordinated Entry regularly discuss admission preferences that may need to be updated or adjusted. One example is the accommodation of a particular voucher, for example EHV, which had difference characteristics than other vouchers.

2. Last year we began working with the The Flint Housing Commission to learn their homeless admission preferences. We worked closely on Mainstream HCV, and last year we realized an increase in percentage of homeless individuals admitted into their programming. However, like other agencies in our community, The Flint Housing Commission experienced fluctuations in their staffing, primarily on the HCV side. The relationships we built the previous year were gone and consequently, we had to start over. Recently, the positions have been filled and the contacts have started to re-engage in the CoC. The Director (position held for multiple years) of the Public Housing side of the Flint Housing Commission contributes to the NOFO every year.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	TPV	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	N/A

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
This list contains no items

## 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	10
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	10
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC does not have new programming in its submission of the FY2022 NOFO. All projects are renewals for agencies that have been subrecipients of HUD programming ranging from one year to fourteen years. The CoC evaluates Housing First through yearly monitoring visits and examination of internal policies relating to Housing First. Feedback from Coordinated Entry, Outreach and the end client provide additional confirmation as to whether the agency is using a Housing First approach.

2. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC list of factors and performance indicators utilized are: number of referrals received, number of referrals housed, reasons not housed, APRs including SPMs, customer interviews, and examination of policies during monitoring.

3. Currently, the Flint/Genesee County CoC evaluates projects one other time outside of the NOFO. This evaluation occurs during the sub-recipient/ lead agency monitoring visits which includes staff interviews, policy reviews, client interview, APRs (HMIS date) and feedback from Coordinated Entry data. We are anticipating once the Independent Review Team (IRT) team is in place evaluation will occur minimally three times a year outside of the NOFO. Our Governance Charter was adopted on January 12, 2022. In rolling out the Governance Charter Project timeline, the IRT is one of the first groups to be appointed. The CoC views evaluation of projects is critical to creating the programming necessary to fit the needs of the client.

The Flint/Genesee County CoC regularly evaluates programs utilizing data from the Coordinated Entry System, QBNL case conferencing meetings, and HMIS reports to collaboratively discuss and evaluate the Housing First model explanation for denial. In most of the cases, it is due to the lack of current contact information, or the client having solved their housing crisis on their own, not due to precondition of program participants.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)



1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Street Outreach team is comprised of ten people who go out in teams of two and canvass the community hot spots identified as places where those experiencing homelessness are known to congregate. They visit these locations as well as other locations that are brought to their attention by individuals living on the streets, other social service workers, police and first responders and government officials. The team collaborates with the Coordinated Entry team and the HARA to connect with those who are homeless for resource development, homeless verifications, distribution of emergency care kits, food items and clothing. The Street Outreach team members approach those who are identified as homeless or those expected to be homeless and offer the array of services under a harm reduction model of care. The Flint/Genesee County CoC also has a PATH Outreach team that executes the same duties listed above but are specifically focused on those individuals who have severe mental challenges. This team is focused on getting their clients enrolled into SOAR. This team also works with a team of doctors, nurses and interns that accompany them in street outreach activities, known as Spartan Medicine. The Spartan Medicine team takes blood pressure, temperatures, checks wounds, and provides over the counter pain relievers, and will determine the next best step related to addressing their health needs.

2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Street Outreach team services 100 percent of Genesee County.

3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Street Outreach team is active in the community from 8:00 am to 10:00 pm Monday through Friday, Noon to 10:00 pm on Saturday and 11:00 am to 6:00 pm on Sunday.

4. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Street Outreach team has been in place for years and has always focused its attention on the population of those living on and/or frequenting the streets, living where human habitation is not meant to be, and those who are living in vulnerable conditions who have been or being released from jails, psychiatric facilities, hospitals, or other institutions. Individuals on the streets are the first priority for the Street Outreach team. Individuals who visit emergency shelters and warming centers who are intermittently housed from night to night are brought to the attention of the team to provide follow-up to assess safety and housing plans.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

--	--	--

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of “Current.”	199	103

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC is committed to sharing relevant and up to date information. We have representatives from MDHHS and DHHS who are engaged in the monthly meetings and participate beyond monthly meetings. When there is something new and relevant it is a) presented to the CoC during a meetings or b) shared via email to the CoC listserv. Information is regularly forwarded from MDHHS, the State and local SOAR teams.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC HARA, Street Outreach, PATH outreach, and Coordinated Entry Specialists are constantly in communication with each other to ensure our clients are engaged with the appropriate organization. The teams communicate numerous times a day to strategize and leverage knowledge to help clients gain the services needed. The CoC distributes important updates regarding mainstream benefits. Also, agencies are encouraged to share information at a monthly CoC meeting or a subcommittee meeting. The Flint/Genesee County CoC supports Genesee Health Plan, Hamilton Community Health Network, Genesee Community Health Center, and Blue Care Network during their open enrollment periods and throughout the year. The Case Managers also assist the healthcare organizations and mental health agencies to enroll clients in their services. The Greater Flint Health Coalition is also an active contributor within the CoC.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC is invited monthly to the SOAR conference call. This call is designed to introduce the SOAR process to the community and encourage community members to become navigators who will assist the community from their agency. With adoption of the new Continuum of Care Governance Charter and By-Laws, the SOAR Across Michigan Initiative was adopted as a formal workgroup of the Continuum. This workgroup is chaired by Genesee Health System, an active voting member of the Continuum and a MDHHS credentialed SOAR service provider. Before its formal adoption in the CoC governance process, the contributions of SOAR and the accomplishments of SOAR-Trained staff were recognized and reported back to the CoC membership at its monthly meetings. The SOAR Across Michigan Initiative is recognized by the Continuum Membership, Governance Council and Executive Committee as a critical adjunct to supporting the mission and values of the Continuum of Care and its partner agencies and service providers.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Increasing capacity of non-congregate sheltering is an urgent need for the Flint/Genesee County CoC. The CoC examined special NOFO funds to help increase its capacity, however we did not have Rural access, which was the only path to increase in the special NOFO to provide non-congregate sheltering. When shelters are at capacity, our most vulnerable clients with severe mental challenges who are not mentally able to stay in a congregate setting, choose to stay in a place not meant for human habitation, such as an abandoned house or building, their car, on the streets, etc. The CoC's goal is to bring the community together to solve this urgent need.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:	
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC collaborates with public health agencies to respond to and prevent the spread of infectious diseases. From 2020 through 2022, the CoC facilitated conference calls that included the Genesee Health Department and Emergency Response to make us aware of anticipated outbreaks. We also forward all CDC information to the CoC.
2. The Emergency Shelters and Outreach employ strategies recommended by MSHDA, CDC, and HUD. To prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit outbreaks by sharing information related to public health and homelessness. The CoC created weekly COVID-19 Conference Calls that included Health Department, representation from the Governor's office, MDHHHS, the emergency shelters, housing programs, community health program, Emergency Manager, Red Cross, data shared from HUD, CDC, MCAH and MSHDA. Needs and concerns were discussed, and strategies and resources were committed during the meetings. Outbreaks were contained. The Flint/Genesee County CoC was asked to speak and share the homelessness response on other city on community calls.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System—Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Coordinated Entry System covers 100% of Genesee County.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Coordinated Entry System utilizes a standardized assessment process. The CoC has two standardized assessment tools as part of that process. Every client that enters the CoC homeless response system can enter through any door (no wrong door approach); 98% of those engaging the homeless response team enters through the HARA, Flint/Genesee County Homeless Help Line or Street Outreach teams. The agency completes the CESA (Coordinated Entry System Assessment Form) with the client. Within five days, if the client has not self-resolved, the VISPDAT is administered and scored. It is sent to the Coordinated Entry Specialist and placed on the QBNL in acuity order based on the responses of the VISPDAT. Most agencies within the CoC are familiar with the standardized processes.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has devoted more time to Coordinated Entry than any other process. When a service gap is uncovered via feedback from community members including clients, we begin investigating barriers and how to minimize or eliminate them. The CoC has committed many review hours, employed consultants, and designated various subcommittees to constantly refine the CES. We also have utilized the expertise of two consultants, dialogue at monthly CE meetings, Community Conversations, Community Mapping, Referral meetings, and feedback from our funders. Our MSHDA representative has helped us define gaps and has offered solutions that were implemented to close interagency gaps.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Street Outreach and PATH Outreach teams on a daily basis frequent the places where the most vulnerable clients that suffer from severe and persistent mental health or substance use challenges to bring them into the homeless response system. The Outreach teams have gained trust and have helped the most difficult homeless clients get beyond their fears and engage in the housing stability process. Our PATH Outreach team is specifically trained in engaging the most difficult to reach clients.

2. The intent of the Flint/Genesee County CES is to use the Quality By Name List (QBNL) to ensure that clients with the most need as evidenced by the higher score on the VI-SPDAT are housed first and prioritized above those with a lower score.

3. The Flint /Genesee County CoC CE prioritizes clients on the QBNL based on an acuity score. Utilizing the CESA and the appropriate VI-SPDAT tool a score is provided based on individual needs. The client is placed on the QBNL based on acuity in order from high to low. The PSH scoring range for the VI-SPDAT is 8-17 and the RRH scoring range is 4-7. Due to the severe lack of safe and affordable housing stock in Genesee County, housing in a timely manner is currently a major challenge. There has been a slight improvement since the CERA program ended, lowering the demand for available housing still exceeds the supply. Fortunately, more affordable housing developments are on the horizon. Since this time last year there has been two new Affordable Housing Units that are responsible for housing 18 clients. A common practice in our CoC is to encourage the clients to find the housing that best meets their preferences.

4. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has implemented several improvements to ensure there is not a burden on the client to engage the homeless response system. A significant part of this has been educating clients to manage their expectations. When they enter the process the time frame is explained to reduce their anxiety. We also educate clients on their responsibilities to complete their housing journey.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	08/08/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC began this process of analyzing racial equity data during FY2019 NOFA (the name at that time). We looked through the racial equity lens at the timeframe required for a referral to get housed, landlord rejection, landlord eviction, how many clients were RRH vs PSH in the racial context. We found a limited number of projects had racial inequalities. For this year the CoC joined with the state initiative on racial equity and how to address it. The modeling of how to implement in our CoC, the approaches, the discussions, the outcomes are beneficial and will be utilized starting November 2022.

2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has identified a growing discrimination against those who are being released from prison. They are not capable of re-entering society due to roadblocks in housing and employment causing the length of homelessness to be greater than those who are chronically homeless. Also, it takes longer for people of color and LGBTQ+ to house, and landlords are quicker to evict. Black Indigenous People of Color (BIPOC) scored lower on the VISPDAT than their white counterparts.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	No
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	No
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC has taken the following steps to address disparities. a) We acknowledged there were disparities. b) September 2021, we collected data by sending a survey to the CoC to gain insights on racial equity perspectives in our community, most of the agencies stated something needed to be done; c) We looked for opportunities to learn more and seek technical assistance; d) September 2021 the CoC submitted a request to participate in Round 2 of the HUD SNAPS CE Equity Demo. We were invited to a Zoom interview, the Flint/Genesee County CoC had diverse representation. We did not get selected to move forward; e) In January of 2022 we learned about the State of Michigan Racial Equity Strategic Planning Project for CoC Leadership. In February 2022 we committed to the process. This process is active today. The CoC has attended most of the listening session which model the beginnings of how to address racial disparities to achieve racial equity. Within the next thirty days we plan to implement our first listening session.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC outreach effort to engage those with lived experience today is a target approach and is primarily asking our partners to provide recommendations. Several members of the Flint/Genesee CoC have disclosed their homelessness experience. Because of their lived experience they are eager to serve in leadership and advocacy and share their perspective on all policies. To add a current lived experience voice, we have added clients that are currently supported in our homeless response system.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)



The Flint/Genesee County CoC values the perspective of those that have experienced homelessness and those that are at risk. The CoC is a diverse group of agencies and people, including individuals with lived experience on the CoC leadership team, which helps to improved racial equity from a lived experience lens. To add a current lived experience voice we have added clients that are currently homeless, by engaging housing partners to recommend clients that would fit in this role. Two people have been identified that fit in the BIPOC demographic.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	4	0
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	4	0
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	4	0
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	4	0
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	4	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes several community partners to provide employment opportunities and professional development. Some partners provide unique opportunities such as utilizing personality tests to determine what field or kind of work would be most suitable for the client to have success. A plan is devised and in one example the agency paid for the schooling needed for the client to get a barber's license. There are several partner agencies that offer, "try client for 30, 60 days they pay the salary." This gives the hiring agency a chance to identify if this client is a fit. There are several agencies that teach our clients with disabilities how to do commercial sewing, embroidery and lawn care. The CoC helps clients enroll in MDHHS child-care programs and provide bus passes to get to work. Our partners will have special days for various agencies in the community. For example, there are agencies that assist in paying an individual to learn forklift driving skills. These agencies pay for the necessary classes and work related gear.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and	
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC recognizes the importance of obtaining client feedback. Our agency does exit interviewing where the client may call to express a concern. We have recently introduced a standard operating procedure and will ensure to add a feedback component to record these experiences.
2. The steps the Flint/Genesee County CoC implements to addresses challenges raised by people with lived experience are: a) collect specific information about the challenge, b) determine if its systemic or agency related c) make sure CoC leadership is aware, d) discuss with community e) explore remedies and make adjustments.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has strongly advocated with local elected officials to reform zoning laws to allow diverse types of housing to be built, not solely the detached single-family homes or large apartment buildings we see now. CoC members have met with local and state elected officials and specifically advocated for more support for missing middle housing and local land use law reform. A particular success that came from this work was that on July 25th, 2022, the City of Flint adopted its first new zoning code since 1974. This code explicitly permits by-right many more types of housing like duplexes, triplexes, small apartment buildings, mixed use developments, and more in areas that previously focused on single-family detached housing. This new code also dramatically simplifies the approval process, allowing for considerably more housing to be built through administrative processes rather than complicated, time consuming, and costly Planning Commission hearings and approvals. Beyond the advocacy that helped to pass this ordinance, CoC member Communities First, Inc. is currently creating a video for the general public to explain the impact of these zoning changes and why allowing for a diverse housing stock is key to solving the crisis of homelessness and the overall housing shortage.

2. With respect to reducing regulatory barriers to housing development, some CoC members have met with local elected officials to explicitly advocate for a more predictable development approval process. For instance, Communities First, Inc. is currently advocating for the passage of an automatic Payment In Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) ordinance in the City of Flint for affordable housing development to improve the financial viability of affordable housing development while removing an often costly and time-consuming step, obtaining discretionary PILOT approvals for each project. Additionally, CoC members have managed events such as 2022 Park(ing) Day, a day where entities across the community hosted events in parking spaces to show the benefits that can be seen when land mandated to serve as surface parking by minimum parking requirements is instead repurposed to more productive uses. CoC members continue to advocate for the reduction or elimination of these parking mandates which stand as a major regulatory barrier to housing development by mandating large parts of development sites be allocated to car storage, not homes.

## 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/15/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	310
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	13
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.The Flint/Genesee County CoC collect and analyze data for programing from the HUD platforms, HMIS (APR reports and Business Objects), SAGE, eLOCCS, HDX 1.0 and HDX 2
- 2.The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes the APR length of time to project move-in (22c) and data from Coordinated Entry (date agency requested referral, date referral was sent to requesting agency and feedback from the housing manager when the client was housed).
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC PSH and RRH subrecipients collaborate and discuss clients monthly during the QBNL meeting to identify those who are most difficult to house. Considerations include barriers and strategizes of the best approach to move the client to permanent housing. The acuity score is the leading indicator utilized to determine the clients with the most severe needs and discussion around placement. We consider all information in the rating and ranking process.
4. The Flint/Genesee County CoC moved all projects forward to address those with the highest need. Although projects underperformed significantly the community continued to advocate for those who were high risk and clients with the greatest needs were served

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC values the input of all persons of different races including those that are over-represented in our homeless response system. Currently, we obtained their valued input by asking direct questions and administering data collecting surveys to gain insight and input to improve the outcomes for these individuals. The surveys can be anonymous if preferred. Because of the diversity among our CoC leadership and membership we have a unique advantage to organically collect input that reflects the over-represented client population.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC determined it would utilize the rating factors found in HUD rating and ranking tool. There were up to 40 points available per applicant relating to BIPOC questions, which can impact the overall weighted score.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC invited the entire CoC membership and leadership to participate in the rating and ranking meeting. The feedback from the meeting included a diverse group of people that had input.
4. The Flint/Genesee County rated and ranked projects may have potential barriers. The barriers are discussed in Quality By-Name list meetings and CE referral meetings. The CoC will identify, discuss and create a strategy to eliminate barriers.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC did not reallocate projects for FY2022.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC identified two projects that underperformed. Given the demographic and the severity of needs for those client's reallocation was not discussed. The CoC recently approved a new Independent Review Team (IRT) which will be responsible for developing a process that will evaluate projects throughout the year.
3. The Flint/Genesee County CoC did not reallocate low performing projects.
4. The Flint/Genesee County CoC did not reallocate funds due to recognition of unique factors which adversely impacted the projects' performance in the previous year such as staffing changes and a diminished housing market. These conditions have improved, and we anticipate projects to utilize available funding. In addition, moving forward the CoC will activate a new subcommittee named the Independent Review Team (IRT) that will evaluate project performance each quarter.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	No
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/09/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results—Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank—if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/28/2022
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	09/28/2022
--	---	------------



## 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky
--	--	---------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/04/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The YWCA utilizes an HMIS comparable database, Empower DB, to collect and report de-identifying aggregate data. The database is compliant with both the Violence Against Women Act, which prohibits victim service providers to report identifying information and HUD reporting requirements. Throughout 2022 HUD and the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCEDSV) worked together to release a request for proposals and selected a database provider, Apricot, to create a more effective reporting system specific for domestic violence service providers. The Apricot database is expected to roll out in 2023.

2. The Flint Genesee County CoC utilizes the YWCA de-identified aggregate information along with the advice of the YWCA advocates to determine the most appropriate plan to address the most immediate and urgent need. The YWCA and Coordinated Entry teams operate as one unit to protect the identity and to move clients safely to housing stability. This process is compliance with HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	285	32	249	98.42%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	61	0	92	150.82%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	103	0	103	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	270	0	287	106.30%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The Flint/Genesee County CoC recognizes the transitional housing (TH) project is administered exclusively by a non-participating agency. The CoC will take the following steps to increase TH bed coverage to at least 85 percent: a) actively engage with the TH programs b) educate the transitional housing agencies on the benefits of increasing bed coverage rate; c) provide a support system and training for TH program agency staff and d) utilize HMIS Administrator to resolve technical issues and ensure data is accurately collected.

2.The agency Executive Directors and HMIS Administrator continue to engage in dialogue to explore their HMIS participation and create a plan for increasing the bed coverage rate over 85%.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

## 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	01/26/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/04/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC engaged stakeholders by reaching out to McKinney-Vento liaisons in Genesee County, making presentations in local schools to keep communities informed and connecting with social workers and counselors.
2. Connecting with these stakeholders enabled the Flint/ Genesee County CoC to identify youth experiencing homelessness that they may be counted in PIT.
3. Flint/ Genesee County CoC Outreach teams performed the PIT count with knowledge of hangouts and areas where youth would be couch surfing on the night of PIT. Outreach teams also worked tirelessly to encourage youth to enter shelters and the warming centers. This was so effective the Warming Center doubled its number in our PIT count and the number of unsheltered populations decreased drastically.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
	4. state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. On Tuesday, June 15, 2021, the Governor lifted the social distancing ban allowing public places to be occupied at 100%. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilized this information to encourage shelters to increase their bed capacity so that homeless clients can fill shelters to capacity. This increased our sheltered population.
2. The Flint/ Genesee County CoC encouraged the Outreach Team to prepare for PIT by passing out blankets, socks, scarfs and hats. This measure was used to encourage the unsheltered population to seek shelter. As the PIT night grew closer Outreach Teams increased their efforts with the knowledge that January 26 would be the coldest night of winter.
3. The effects of Flint/ Genesee County CoC's efforts increased the sheltered population from the previous year by more than 50 clients and decreased the unsheltered population from 47 in 2021 to 14 in 2022.

## 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless--Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC, along with its partners (HARA, ESG prevention recipients, mental health agencies, MDHHS, LSEM, Outreach Teams, HMIS Administrator) worked together to determine risk factors. Some of the risk's factors include families who are facing eviction or utility shut offs due to non-payment, mental or physical disabilities, domestic violence, medical emergencies, and/or past evictions.
2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes several strategies to address reducing first time homelessness. Activities include advertising eviction diversion programs, prevention resources, landlord education, site visits with property managers to make them aware of funding to prevent their tenants from being evicted. We also work closely with the Legal Services of Eastern Michigan and Legal Aid, and prevention referrals teams who kept the courts abreast of prevention resources to ensure clients are referred to Coordinated Entry for prevention services.
3. The HMIS Program Administrator, CE Specialist, CoC Coordinator at Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless--CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	
FY2022 CoC Application	Page 42	09/28/2022

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has adopted housing first and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) strategies to reduce duration of homelessness. The Coordinated Entry System (CES) utilizes the VI-SPDAT, TAY-VI-SPDAT, and F-VI-SPDAT to identify and prioritize the most vulnerable clients for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH).

2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes data from the Coordinated Entry's QBNL (Quality By Name List), case conferencing and HMIS to identify clients with the longest lengths of time homeless. Housing agencies request referrals from the QBNL and clients are referred to the agency according to their acuity score. Once a client is referred the housing search begins and housing placement occurs.

3. The Coordinated Entry Specialist and HMIS Administrator of Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes case managers in the emergency shelters and transitional housing programs to assess the needs of the clients who are placed on the QBNL. Those who qualify utilize Rapid Rehousing programs to exit to permanent housing destinations. Clients who are in permanent housing projects receive supportive services that ensure that they have access to eviction diversion programs, bill pay programs and are connected to mental health housing counselors.

Once the individual has been verified homeless a VI-SPDAT is done, and they are immediately referred to Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing. Rapid Rehousing programs are used to quickly move individuals and families who qualify from Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing into permanent housing destinations.

2. The Coordinated Entry Specialist works closely with the shelters, RRH case managers, Youth Transitional Case Managers, HCV Manager at the HARA, HCV manager at The Flint Housing Commission, PSH case managers and affordable housing agencies to identify resource availability. Once referrals have been requested from the HCV, EHV and PSH, case managers work tirelessly to ensure clients are leased up and moved into permanent affordable housing. Housing stock in Genesee County has become a major barrier for getting clients moved into permanent housing quickly.

3. The Coordinated Entry Specialist at Metro Community Development is responsible for overseeing the Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to increase the rate of individuals and families to attain permanent affordable housing.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC Coordinated Entry team, the HARA and shelters utilize the Street Outreach Teams, HMIS data and case managers to identify clients who return to homelessness. These clients are deemed homeless and placed on the QBNL in order of their acuity score.

2. The Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns is to work with our programs, communicate through our monthly QBNL case conferencing meetings and identify trends based on HMIS data and feedback from Outreach Teams. We also provide additional wrap around services to ensure that participants do not return to homelessness upon their exit.

3. The HMIS Program Coordinator, CE Specialist and COC Coordinator housed at Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the return to homelessness rate.



2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to increase employment income relies on connecting participants to the employment agencies that best fit their capabilities partnered with case management. Our shelters, RRH and PSH Case managers and the Disability Network work diligently with employment partners including the local Michigan Works!, specialized and non traditional employment agencies, Michigan Rehabilitation Services, Peckham and St Luke's who all are committed to increasing employment income.
2. The employment agencies that work with the Flint/Genesee County CoC host job fairs at the shelters, create job shadowing opportunities, and provide transportation to the shelters to pick up residents.
3. The HMIS Program Coordinator, CE Specialist and COC Coordinator at Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the return to homelessness rate.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Flint/Genesee County CoC refers clients who qualify for disability but have had issues filing paperwork and getting approval with the SOAR (SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery) Navigator. The SOAR Navigators assists participants who qualify with the applications for the following: the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, Medicaid/Medicare, Unemployment compensation, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), and other mainstream benefits.
2. The HMIS Program Coordinator at Metro Community Development is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

### 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

### 3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

## 3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

## 4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

## 4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.  . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	Homeless Preference	09/28/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	Moving On	09/28/2022
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Timeline, Announc...	09/21/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Threshold Factors	09/21/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Report Cards FY2022	09/21/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Accept, Reject, R...	09/21/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Email notificatio...	09/21/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	FY2022 Rating & R...	09/21/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Homeless Preference

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Moving On

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Timeline, Announcement, & RFP

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Threshold Factors

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Report Cards FY2022

## **Attachment Details**



**Document Description:** Accept, Reject, Reduce Notification

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Email notification of projects accepted

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** FY2022 Rating & Ranking

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:**

## Attachment Details

Document Description:

## Attachment Details

Document Description:

## Submission Summary

**Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.**

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/15/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/28/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/28/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/28/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/28/2022
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/28/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/28/2022
2C. System Performance	09/28/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/28/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/28/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/28/2022

FY2022 CoC Application	Page 55	09/28/2022
------------------------	---------	------------

<b>4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants</b>	09/28/2022
<b>4B. Attachments Screen</b>	Please Complete
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required

### C. Local Preferences and Ranking

The Housing Quality and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 permanently eliminated the Federal preference requirement; however, PHAs may adopt the Federal preference language and criteria as their local and/or ranking preferences.

The PHA will select and house applicants in accordance with the following preferences and priorities, in the order listed:

1. Limitations on Admission
  - a) Types of developments and units available;
  - b) Occupancy Standards (limitation on the minimum and maximum number of household members permitted to live in dwelling units of specified sizes).
2. Selection Preferences, as follows, in the order listed.

	Preference	Ranking or Point Value
1	Applicant families whose head of household, or spouse is employed or has a bona fide offer for employment, (this preference will not be based on the amount of earned income and the PHA may not prefer higher income families over families with lower incomes to occupy a development or unit except to the extent that the PHA has identified the need to implement economic de-concentration and income targeting). Families whose head of household or spouse is sixty-two (62) years of age or disabled automatically receive the maximum level of local preference	2
2	Victims of domestic violence (spousal/child abuse)	1
3	Youth maxing out of the Foster Care System	2
4	Involuntary Displacement	1
5	Veterans	2
6	<p>Homeless</p> <p>For families experiencing homelessness, the PHA will use the PIH homeless definitions use for IMS/PIC reporting as follows:</p> <p>Category 1: An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground; or</li> </ul>	3

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately-operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state or local government programs for low-income individuals); or</li> <li>• An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution;</li> </ul> <p>Category 4: Any individual or family who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is <i>fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions</i> that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence; and</li> <li>• Has no other residence; and</li> <li>• Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing</li> </ul>	
--	---	--

3. Date and Time of Application (in each of the above circumstances)

Applicants who meet all the eligibility requirements and who qualify for a preference will be assisted first according to the date and time of application. After all applicants with verified preferences are assisted, the PHA will then contact applicant families who are on the waiting list, according to date and time of application, and bedroom size needed.

4. Denial of Local Preference(s) claim

Applicants must provide appropriate documentation to substantiate their claim for a local preference. Families who cannot provide the appropriate documentation to the agency will be notified in writing that they do not qualify for a local preference.

The PHA will provide a written notice if an applicant does not qualify for a preference. This notice will contain a brief statement of the reasons for the determination and a statement that the applicant has the right to meet with the PHA's designee to review the determination. This request must be received by the PHA no later than five (5) calendar days from the postmarked date of the notice.

**ATTACHMENT A  
FY 2021-22 ANNUAL PHA PLAN FOR HCV ONLY PHAs  
MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  
(MSHDA) (MI-901)**

**B. Annual Plan**

**B.1 Revision of PHA Plan Elements:**

Housing Needs and Strategy for Addressing Housing Needs

MSHDA is dedicated to serving the needs of the homeless, very low and extremely low-income Michigan residents. This is demonstrated in its administration of the Housing Choice Voucher Program via the on-going efforts:

- designating a homeless preference for county HCV waiting lists;
- designating a disabled preference for county HCV waiting lists;
- commitment to the Michigan Campaign to End Homelessness;
- working with partner agencies serving the elderly, families with disabilities, households of various races and ethnic groups;
- working with Continuum of Care groups across the State of Michigan.
- exceeding federal income targeting requirements by establishing that 80% of new admissions must be extremely low-income families and up to 20% of new admissions must be very low-income families.
- administering the HCV VASH Program in partnership with four VA medical facility sites across the State of Michigan (Battle Creek, Detroit, Saginaw, and Iron Mountain);
- administering Mainstream 1 (now called Non-Elderly Disabled or NED) and Mainstream 5 (MS5) vouchers;
- administering the Affordable Assisted Housing Program (AAHP), in Macomb and Oakland Counties; which combines an HCV with the Michigan Medicaid Waiver to provide housing as an alternative to nursing home care;
- expanding the 2014-2015 Moving-Up Pilot that partners with the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) and provides a resource for previously homeless populations utilizing Permanent Supportive Housing; MSHDA has committed 710 vouchers for this pilot program;
- leveraging 100 vouchers with the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program;
- creating a State Innovation Model (SIM) Pilot Program that partners with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) to provide housing and supportive services to citizens that have very high utilization levels of emergency departments and emergency services that are also experiencing homelessness. MSHDA has committed up to 200 vouchers for this pilot program;
- administering nearly 4,000 Project-Based Vouchers in over 190 developments across the state;
- offering a PBV waiting list preference in select PBV properties for Chronically Homeless, United States Veterans, Homeless Frequent Emergency Department Users with Care Needs, Highly Vulnerable Populations and Supportive Housing Populations;
- implementing a recertification of homelessness at the time of PBV waiting list draw, to ensure the applicant still meets the definition of homelessness;
- administering more than 1,200 Project-Based Vouchers via the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) in 22 properties across the state;
- continuing outreach efforts to find affordable and good quality units for voucher holders;
- identifying when to open and close county waiting lists to maintain up-to-date lists;
- implementing biennial HQS inspections for HCV housing units;
- administering an initiative in partnership with the Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC) to enhance housing opportunities for persons exiting correctional facilities. MSHDA has allocated up to 200 vouchers for returning citizens that need long-term rental assistance;
- administering the Mainstream Voucher Program in collaboration with the MDHHS. The program provides voucher assistance to non-elderly and disabled households while partnering agencies provide support services based on the individual's needs and MDHHS affiliated program. MSHDA was awarded 99 vouchers from HUD for this program. An additional 30 Mainstream Vouchers were

awarded by HUD via the CARES Act funding.

- administering the Family Unification Program (FUP) in collaboration with the MDHHS. The program provides voucher assistance to FUP-eligible families and FUP-eligible youth experiencing housing barriers. MSHDA was awarded 81 vouchers from HUD for this program.

#### Deconcentration and Other policies that Govern Eligibility, Selection and Admissions

MSHDA promotes deconcentration of poverty and promotes income mixing in all areas by educating applicants at the time of their briefing on these issues.

Waiting lists exist for all 83 Michigan counties and are opened or closed as necessary. Applications are taken electronically. As of November 18, 2020, there are 37,608 applicants on the waiting lists. Of these, 33,605 are extremely low income; 3,069 are very low income; and 934 are low income. Families with children make up 39% of waiting list applicants; 10% are elderly and 17% are disabled.

MSHDA has a homeless preference and applications are taken from homeless families and added to the homeless preference waiting list when certified.

A disability preference is given for those applicants where the head of household, co-head or spouse are disabled. Verification of disability is obtained upon selection from the waiting list.

A county residency preference is given for those applicants who either live or work in the county and can prove residency through a verified current address or verification from an employer.

A Michigan residency preference is given for those applicants who either live or work in the state of Michigan and can prove residency through a verified current address or verification from an employer.

PBV applicants must apply through the Lead Agency/HARA or property management staff. Referrals are sent directly to the MSHDA contracted Housing Agent for placement on the PBV Waiting List.

#### Financial Resources

<b>Financial Resources: Planned Sources and Uses</b>		
<b>Sources</b>	<b>Planned \$</b>	<b>Planned Uses</b>
<b>1. Federal Grants (FY 2019 grants)</b>		
a) Public Housing Operating Fund	Not applicable	
b) Public Housing Capital Fund	Not applicable	
c) Annual Contributions for Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance	\$209,608,985	Section 8 Eligible expenses
d) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Not applicable	
e) HOME	Not applicable	
Other Federal Grants (list below)		
FSS Program	\$ 1,064,552	FSS Program
Sec 811 Program	\$ 5,516,950	Sec 811 PRA Program
<b>2. Prior Year Federal Grants (unobligated funds only) (list below)</b>	None	
<b>3. Public Housing Dwelling Rental Income</b>	Not applicable	
<b>4. Other income (list below)</b>	None	
<b>5. Non-federal sources (list below)</b>	None	
<b>Total resources</b>	<b>\$216,190,487</b>	



#### Rent Determination:

MSHDA will continue to have a \$50 Minimum Total Tenant Payment (TTP). If the MSHDA HCV budget is significantly increased, the minimum TTP amount may be adjusted downward.

Payment standards will be maintained at 110% of Fair Market Rent (FMR). MSHDA will conduct an annual review to determine payment standard levels and if necessary, may request an exception payment standard of between 111-120% of FMR for one or more counties if appropriate.

#### Homeownership:

MSHDA will continue administering its Section 8 Homeownership Program entitled the *Key to Own* Homeownership Program which has been operating since March 2004. The MSHDA *Key to Own* Homeownership Program has no set limits on the maximum number of participants. Currently, MSHDA has over 1,120 participants in the *Key to Own* Homeownership Program who are working on program requirements; i.e. credit scores, finding employment, debt reduction, etc. Since the program's inception, 563 MSHDA HCV participants have become homeowners.

#### Substantial Deviation:

MSHDA defines a substantial deviation from the 5-Year Plan to be a change in its policy, activity or program that redirects MSHDA's mission, goals, or objectives; and/or the addition of new policies, activities or programs not included in the current PHA Plan.

#### Significant Amendment:

The addition of new policies, activities or programs not included in the current PHA Plan may qualify as a Significant Amendment.

#### Safety and Crime Prevention:

The MSHDA Office of Rental Assistance and Homeless Solutions (RAHS) is committed to the implementation of the VAWA of 2013. MSHDA will continue to undertake actions to meet this requirement in the administration of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program.

MSHDA's contracted Housing Agents participate in local Continuum of Care meetings and use those contacts and others known to them through the Family Self-Sufficiency Program to assist survivors of domestic violence (including dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking) and their children when cases are made known to them.

Many of the agencies participating in the Continuum of Care groups provide temporary housing/shelter to survivors of domestic violence and their children. MSHDA staff and Housing Agents work with the partnering Continuum of Care service agencies and partnering Housing Assessment and Resource Agencies (HARAs) to find resources for domestic violence survivors, and children and adult victims of dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to make sure the family is able to maintain their housing assistance.

MSHDA provides the Notice of Occupancy Rights under VAWA (HUD 5380) and the Certification of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, or Stalking (HUD 5382) when a family is denied admission to the program, when a family is admitted to the program and when the family is terminated from the program. In addition, MSHDA has created an Emergency Move Plan for HCV and PBV participants and provides the Emergency Transfer Request for Certain Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking (HUD 5383) upon request.

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**FY 2019-20 ANNUAL PHA PLAN FOR HCV ONLY PHAs**  
**MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**  
**(MSHDA) (MI-901)**

**B. Annual Plan**

**B.1 Revision of PHA Plan Elements:**

Statement of Housing Needs and Strategy for Addressing Housing Needs

MSHDA is dedicated to serving the needs of the homeless and very-low and extremely low income Michigan residents. This is demonstrated in its administration of the Housing Choice Voucher Program via the following:

- designating a homeless preference for county HCV waiting lists.
- designating a disabled preference for county HCV waiting lists.
- commitment to the Michigan Campaign to End Homelessness.
- working with partner agencies serving the elderly, families with disabilities, households of various races and ethnic groups.
- working with Continuum of Care groups across the State of Michigan.
- policy of exceeding federal income targeting requirements by establishing that 80% of new admissions must be extremely low-income families and up to 20% of new admissions must be very low-income families.
- administration of the HCV VASH Program at four VA medical facility sites across the State of Michigan (presently Battle Creek, Detroit, Saginaw, and Iron Mountain).
- administration of Mainstream 1 (now called Non-Elderly Disabled or NED) and Mainstream 5 (MS5) vouchers.
- administration of MSHDA's pilot program, Affordable Assisted Housing Program (AAHP), in Macomb and Oakland Counties; which combines an HCV with the Michigan Medicaid Waiver to provide housing as an alternative to nursing home care.
- expansion of the 2014-2015 Moving-Up Pilot that partners with the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) and provides a resource for previously homeless populations utilizing Permanent Supportive Housing; MSHDA commits 710 of its HCV vouchers to this pilot program.
- leveraging 100 HCVs with the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program.
- creation of a State Innovation Model (SIM) Pilot Program that partners with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) to provide housing and supportive services to citizens that have very high utilization levels of emergency departments and emergency services that are also experiencing homelessness. MSHDA has committed up to 200 vouchers for this pilot program.
- administration of more than 3,000 Project-Based Vouchers across the state.
- offering a PBV waiting list preference in designated PBV properties for individuals and/or families meeting the definition of Chronic Homeless, United States Veteran and Homeless Frequent Emergency Department Users with Care Need.
- implementing a recertification of homelessness at the time of PBV waiting list draw, to ensure the applicant still meets the definition of homelessness.
- administering more than 1,200 vouchers at 22 RAD Projects across the state which converts tenant-based RAP and Rent Supplement Assistance to tenants in HUD 236 properties to Project-Based Vouchers.
- continuation of outreach efforts to find affordable and good quality units for its voucher holders.
- identification of when to open and close county waiting lists as needed across the state to maintain up-to-date lists.
- implementing biennial HQS inspections for HCV housing units.
- administration of an initiative with the Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC) to enhance housing opportunities for persons exiting correctional facilities. MSHDA has allocated up to 200 HCVs for returning citizens that need long-term rental assistance.
- administration of the Mainstream Voucher Program in collaboration with the MDHHS. The program will provide voucher assistance to non-elderly and disabled households and partnering agencies will

provide support services based on the individual's needs and MDHHS affiliated program. MSHDA was awarded 99 vouchers from HUD for this program.

- administration of the Family Unification Program (FUP) in collaboration with the MDHHS. The program will provide voucher assistance to FUP-eligible families and FUP-eligible youth experiencing housing barriers. MSHDA was awarded 81 vouchers from HUD for this program.

#### Deconcentration and Other policies that Govern Eligibility, Selection and Admissions

MSHDA promotes deconcentration of poverty and promotes income mixing in all areas by educating applicants at the time of their briefing on these issues.

Waiting lists exist for all 83 Michigan counties and are opened or closed as necessary. Applications are taken electronically. As of January 2, 2019, there are 37,215 applicants on the waiting list; 32,907 are extremely low income; 3,104 are very low income; and 1,204 are low income. Families with children make up 39% of waiting list applicants; 8% are elderly and 16% are disabled.

MSHDA has a homeless preference and applications are taken from homeless families and added to the homeless preference waiting list when certified.

A disability preference is given for those applicants where the head of household, co-head or spouse are disabled. Verification of disability is obtained upon selection from the waiting list.

A county residency preference is given for those applicants who either live or work in the county and can prove residency through a verified current address or verification from an employer.

A Michigan residency preference is given for those applicants who either live or work in the state of Michigan and can prove residency through a verified current address or verification from an employer.

PBV applicants must apply through the Lead Agency/HARA or property management staff. Referrals are sent directly to the MSHDA contracted Housing Agent for placement on the PBV Waiting List.

#### Financial Resources

<b>Financial Resources: Planned Sources and Uses</b>		
<b>Sources</b>	<b>Planned \$</b>	<b>Planned Uses</b>
<b>1. Federal Grants (FY 2019 grants)</b>		
a) Public Housing Operating Fund	Not applicable	
b) Public Housing Capital Fund	Not applicable	
c) Annual Contributions for Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance	\$190,598,141	Section 8 Eligible expenses
d) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Not applicable	
e) HOME	Not applicable	
Other Federal Grants (list below)		
FSS Program	\$ 971,313	FSS Program
Sec 811 Program	\$ 5,516,950	Sec 811 PRA Program
<b>2. Prior Year Federal Grants (unobligated funds only) (list below)</b>	None	
<b>3. Public Housing Dwelling Rental Income</b>	Not applicable	
<b>4. Other income (list below)</b>	None	
<b>5. Non-federal sources (list below)</b>	None	
<b>Total resources</b>	<b>\$197,086,404</b>	

#### Rent Determination:

MSHDA will continue to have a \$50 Minimum Total Tenant Payment (TTP). If the MSHDA HCV budget is significantly increased, the minimum TTP amount may be adjusted downward.

Payment standards will be maintained at 110% of Fair Market Rent (FMR). MSHDA will conduct an annual review to determine payment standard levels and if necessary, may request an exception payment standard of between 111-120% of FMR for one or more counties if appropriate.

#### Homeownership:

MSHDA will continue administering its Section 8 Homeownership Program entitled the *Key to Own* Homeownership Program which has been operating since March 2004. The MSHDA *Key to Own* Homeownership Program has no set limits on the maximum number of participants. Currently, MSHDA has over 1,000 participants in the *Key to Own* Homeownership Program who are working on program requirements; i.e. credit scores, finding employment, debt reduction, etc. Since the program's inception, 497 MSHDA HCV participants have become homeowners.

#### Substantial Deviation:

MSHDA defines a substantial deviation from the 5-Year Plan to be a change in its policy, activity or program that redirects MSHDA's mission, goals, or objectives; and/or the addition of new policies, activities or programs not included in the current PHA Plan.

#### Significant Amendment:

The addition of new policies, activities or programs not included in the current PHA Plan may qualify as a Significant Amendment.

#### Safety and Crime Prevention:

The MSHDA Office of Rental Assistance and Homeless Solutions (RAHS) is committed to the implementation of the VAWA of 2013. MSHDA will continue to undertake actions to meet this requirement in the administration of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program.

MSHDA's contracted Housing Agents participate in local Continuum of Care meetings and use those contacts and others known to them through the Family Self-Sufficiency Program to assist survivors of domestic violence (including dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking) and their children when cases are made known to them.

Many of the agencies participating in the Continuum of Care groups provide temporary housing/shelter to survivors of domestic violence and their children. MSHDA staff and Housing Agents work with the partnering Continuum of Care service agencies and partnering Housing Assessment and Resource Agencies (HARAs) to find resources for domestic violence survivors, and children and adult victims of dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to make sure the family is able to maintain their housing assistance.

MSHDA provides the Notice of Occupancy Rights under VAWA (HUD 5380) and the Certification of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, or Stalking (HUD 5382) when a family is denied admission to the program, when a family is admitted to the program and when the family is terminated from the program. In addition, MSHDA has created an Emergency Move Plan for HCV and PBV participants and provides the Emergency Transfer Request for Certain Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault and Stalking (HUD 5383) upon request.

# FY2022 MI-505 CoC Program Competition Timeline

QUESTIONS: Contact Tracey Hurd at [NOFO@metroflint.org](mailto:NOFO@metroflint.org)

**METRO will update this calendar throughout the CoC competition process**



## TIMELINE

DATE	TASK	LOCATION
Monday, August 1, 2022	HUD Opens the competition at 3:05 PM	Emailed to the Flint Genesee County CoC
Wednesday, August 3, 2022	HUD announcement posted to the Flint/Genesee County Website	Posted by Deontrae Wilson/ MCD <a href="http://www.flintgenesee-countycoc.org">www.flintgenesee-countycoc.org</a>
Wednesday, August 10, 2022	NOFO COMPETITION Timeframe introduced during monthly CoC meeting	Zoom link used for monthly CoC meetings
Thursday, August 11, 2022	HUD Facilitated FY2022 CoC Competition Webinar	Link provided on the SNAPS office communication sent to CoC listserv (Tuesday, 8/9/22)
Monday, August 15, 2022	Flint/Genesee County CoC FY2022 NOFO RFP Request for Applications Public Announcement released	Posted by Deontrae Wilson/ MCD <a href="http://www.flintgenesee-countycoc.org">www.flintgenesee-countycoc.org</a> and sent to CoC listserv
Wednesday, 8/17, Friday 8/19 and Thursday, 8/25 2022	FY2022 NOFO Office Hours – Technical Assistance, get your questions answered (10:00am – 11:30am)	Zoom link will be provided
Monday, August 22, 2022	Letter of Intent to Apply Due (ALL PROGRAM TYPES). DEADLINE due at 11:59 PM	Email to <a href="mailto:NOFO@metroflint.org">NOFO@metroflint.org</a>
Monday, August 29, 2022	FY2022 CoC Local Competition Project Applications. DEADLINE due at 11:59 PM	Email to <a href="mailto:NOFO@metroflint.org">NOFO@metroflint.org</a>
<b>Wednesday, August 31, 2022</b>	<b>IMPORTANT PRIORITIZATION MEETING</b> , Rating and Ranking results and vote to approve (results emailed to ListServ 8/29/2022) (9:00am – 12:00pm)	Zoom link will be provided
Thursday., September 1, 2022	All applicants emailed voting results for all programming	Personal email sent from Tracey Hurd, VP Community Development
Thursday, September 22, 2022	Final draft copy of Consolidated Application and Priority Listing submitted to community for review	<a href="http://www.FlintGeneseeCountyCoC.org">www.FlintGeneseeCountyCoC.org</a>
Monday, September 26, 2022	Vote to Approve the Consolidated Application and Priority Listing (10:00am – 11:30am)	Zoom link will be provided
Tuesday, September 27, 2022	Consolidated application posted to CoC website	<a href="http://www.FlintGeneseeCountyCoC.org">www.FlintGeneseeCountyCoC.org</a>
Wednesday, September 28, 2022	Consolidated Application written and submitted to e-snaps (the electronic application system for the CoC program Competition)	Metro will submit into HUD's eSNAPS platform.
.Friday, September 30, 2022	HUD NOFO for the FYFY2022 CoC Program Submission DEADLINE	<b>CoC MEETS DEADLINE!!!</b>



## Deontrae Wilson

---

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Monday, August 1, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** Tracey Hurd  
**Subject:** FW: FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition

Greetings CoC,

The FY2022 CoC NOFO has been announced! Stay tuned for local competition information coming this week!

**From:** SNAPS-COMPETITIONS <SNAPS-COMPETITIONS@HUD.GOV>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 1, 2022 3:04 PM  
**To:** SNAPS-COMPETITIONS-L@HUDLIST.HUD.GOV  
**Subject:** FY 2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition

**The Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants** has been posted on [Grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov) and will be available on the [Funding Opportunities](#) page on HUD's website later today. Additional resources will be available on the [Continuum of Care Program Competition](#) page of HUD's website.

The CoC Application, CoC Priority Listing, and Project Applications should be available in [e-snaps](#) within the next two weeks. Collaborative Applicants and project applicants will be able to access the applications to review, update, and enter required information for the application process.

**Submission Deadline:** Friday, September 30, 2022 at 8:00 PM EDT

### Collaborative Applicants

- The CoC Application and CoC Priority Listing that includes all project applications that will be submitted to HUD are separate submissions in *e-snaps*. Collaborative Applicants must submit both parts of the CoC Consolidated Application by the application submission deadline for HUD to consider the CoC Consolidated Application to be complete.
- There are six Project Listings in the CoC Priority Listing; however, only the New and Renewal Project Listings require unique rank numbers. The remaining four Project Listings only require Collaborative Applicants to accept or reject project applications.
- The CoC Competition Report, and instructions on how to access the report, that includes data reported in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX) is available for use by Collaborative Applicants to complete portions of the FY 2022 CoC Application.

## Project Applicants

- Returning project applicants may choose to import FY 2021 renewal project application responses; however, this must be requested during your registration of the Renewal Funding Opportunity in *e-snaps* and is only available if you submitted a project application in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition. Imported responses must be carefully reviewed to ensure accuracy.
- Projects renewing for the first time, that were previously awarded prior to the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition must complete the entire renewal project application.
- New project applications must be completed in full and in accordance with the new project application components permitted in this year's Competition.
- YHDP renewal and YHDP replacement project applications must be completed in full and in accordance with the YHDP renewal and YHDP replacement project application processes outlined in the NOFO.
- CoC planning and UFA Costs applications will only be reviewed if submitted by the CoC's designated Collaborative Applicant identified in the CoC Applicant Profile in *e-snaps*.
- Dedicated HMIS projects, renewal and new, can only be submitted by the CoC's designated HMIS Lead as identified in the CoC Applicant Profile in *e-snaps*.

## Additional Guidance

The following additional guidance will be posted on the [CoC Program Competition](#) page of HUD's website between August 1, 2022 and August 22, 2022:

- FY 2022 CoC Estimated ARD Reports
- Detailed Instructions
  - CoC Application
  - CoC Priority Listing
  - Project Applications – all types
- Navigational Guides
  - Accessing the Project Application
  - New Project Application
  - Renewal Project Application
  - UFA Costs Project Application
  - Planning Costs Project Application
  - CoC Priority Listing

## Questions

Questions regarding the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition process must be submitted to [CoCNOFO@hud.gov](mailto:CoCNOFO@hud.gov).

Questions related to *e-snaps* functionality (e.g., password lockout, access to user's application account, updating Applicant Profile) must be submitted to [e-snaps@hud.gov](mailto:e-snaps@hud.gov).

## Listserv Notifications

If you are aware or suspect that the Collaborative Applicant or project applicant for your CoC is not currently receiving these listserv messages, please forward the following link so the Collaborative Applicant or project applicant can register to receive listserv messages as this is the only form HUD uses to communicate CoC Program information to the public:

- [SNAPS Competitions](#), specifically for Competition related messages; and
- [SNAPS Program Information](#), general information regarding SNAPS programs.



#####

We hope that you will want to continue receiving information from HUD.

We safeguard our lists and do not rent, sell, or permit the use of our lists by others, at any time, for any reason.

[HUD COVID-19 Resources and Fact Sheets](#)

If you wish to be added or removed from this mail list, please [go here](#) and follow the instructions to either subscribe or unsubscribe.



HUD FY2022 Continuum of Care Program  
Notice OF Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  
Local Competition

*Request for Proposals (RFP)*



**Published on August 15, 2022**



**Collaborative Applicant**

**Issued: August 15, 2022**

## **BACKGROUND**

The Flint / Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC) is seeking applications – **in the form of a response to a Request for Proposal (RFP)** - for housing projects for inclusion in the CoC's FY2022 application for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Continuum of Care (CoC) program, also known as the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

Each year the Flint / Genesee County CoC competes with other CoCs across the country to secure federal funds to help end homelessness through HUD's CoC Program NOFO.

HUD allows each individual new project to be funded through either bonus or reallocation funds. The total funds available for new projects is listed below, as set by HUD.

The Flint / Genesee County CoC encourages applications from applicants that have never previously received CoC funds as well as from applicants that are currently receiving or have in the past received CoC funds.

A single, consolidated submission of all selected projects in the Flint/Genesee County CoC will be submitted to HUD by Metro Community Development (MCD), as the Collaborative Applicant representing Flint / Genesee County CoC. Funding will be derived from Federal Fiscal Year 2021 allocations of HUD funds and is subject to funding availability under the NOFO. Metro Community Development in collaboration with the CoC Executive Committee reserves the right to request that applicant organizations submit adjusted project budgets based on the amount of funding made available by HUD.

Metro Community Development (MCD), the Collaborative Applicant, which oversees the NOFO application process – provides technical assistance to ensure that the process is accessible to all eligible applicants, including those who have not received CoC funds in the past for Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

HUD publishes a CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for each funding year. The FY2022 CoC Program NOFO was published on **August 1, 2022**, with a deadline of **September 30, 2022**.

<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/view-opportunity.html?oppId=342855>

## **MISSION and PURPOSE**

The mission of the Flint/Genesee County CoC is a community working together to achieve access to safe and affordable housing for all residents of Genesee County.

The Flint/Genesee County CoC is a broad-based coalition of housing and homeless service providers, persons with lived experience of homelessness, advocates, and government representatives who work together to shape countywide planning and decision-making around housing and homelessness. The duties of the CoC are to:

a) fulfill the responsibilities of the Continuum of Care outlined in 24 CFR 578.7 in an equitable, inclusive, and transparent way.

- b) Participate in the process of prioritizing local, state, and federal funding to promote community stabilization and prevent returns to homelessness.
- c) Coordinate county-wide applications for homeless housing and service funding, including but not limited to, Flint/Genesee County CoC annual application for HUD McKinney-Vento funding.
- d) Fulfill annual HUD-reporting requirements.
- e) Work together to maximize federal funding to meet the needs of homeless and at-risk in Genesee County.
- f) Streamline and improve the assessment, prioritization, housing matching, and placement system for homeless and at-risk households through a coordinated community-informed process.
- g) Review and monitor project and system performance to improve effectiveness, identify and fill gaps of service, and inform strategic decision making for the CoC.
- h) Identify the gaps in the system for persons experiencing homelessness and to focus resources and/or implement policies to address these gaps.
- i) Promote access to, and effective use of, mainstream programs to optimize self-sufficiency for persons who are homeless, at risk of homelessness or formerly homeless.
- j) Ensure that policies are housing first, fair, equitable, and free of bias.
- k) Minimize the trauma that homelessness causes among individuals, families, and communities by promoting trauma-informed, strength-based, and culturally sensitive best practices.

## FUNDS AVAILABILITY

The amount of funding estimated to be available for Flint/Genesee County CoC (MI-505) is listed below.

- a) Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is \$2,388,904 (projects eligible for renewal funding).
- b) Tier 1 Funding: \$2,269,459 (current projects).
- c) CoC Bonus Funding: \$239,996.00 (can be funded only through reallocation of existing project funding or through bonus funds).
- d) DV Bonus Funding: \$479,992.00.

## KEY INFORMATION

- a) Threshold Requirements: All projects must meet the threshold criteria shown in the attached Appendix A (see page 7)– Threshold Criteria for Continuum of Care Grant Proposals.
- b) Proposed funding for new projects cannot supplant funding from other sources.
- c) Participants in CoC-funded projects must meet HUD's eligibility requirements, which vary by program component. More information on the CoC regulations is found below.
- d) Permanent Supportive Housing projects may serve families or individuals. An adult participant in each household served in any permanent supportive housing program must have a physical and/or mental disability.
- e) Projects may not charge participants program fees in any program.
- f) Funds are not available for transitional housing, except in the new component, which combines transitional housing and rapid re-housing.
- g) Emergency shelter and services are not eligible for funding under the CoC Program.
- h) All eligible funding costs except leasing must be matched with no less than a 25 percent cash or in-kind match. Leasing costs are not required to be matched.
- i) HUD will allow new projects to request 1 year of funding with a longer initial grant term not to exceed 18 months.



- j) Any new projects requesting capital costs (i.e., new construction, acquisition, or rehabilitation) are not eligible for 1-year requests. If 1-year of funding is requested for new
- k) projects with capital costs, HUD will increase the grant term to 3-years and the new project will be required to spend the funds requested over a 3-year period.
- l) Any new expansion project that is submitted to expand an eligible renewal CoC program- funded project may only request a 1-year grant term, regardless of the project type
- m) Any new project that requests tenant-based rental assistance may request a 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 4-year, or 5-year grant term.
- n) Any new project that requests leasing costs-either leasing costs only or leasing costs plus other costs (i.e., supportive services, HMIS) may only request up to a 3-year grant term
- o) Any new project that requests project -based rental assistance or sponsor-based rental assistance, or operating costs may request up to a 15-year grant term; however, the project applicant may only request up to 5 years of funds. Funding for the remainder of the term is subject to availability.
- p) Any new project that requests operating costs, supportive services only, HMIS and project administrative costs may request 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 4-year, or 5-year grant terms
- q) Collaborative efforts by community agencies are encouraged.

The HUD 2022 NOFO was published on August 1, 2022.

<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/view-opportunity.html?oppld=342855>

## DESCRIPTION OF PROJECTS

**Renewal Projects** currently funded under the Continuum of Care Program are eligible for renewal for FY2022 funds if they have a HUD agreement that expires in Calendar Year 2022 . Projects may renew as is, or they may be part of transition, expansion or consolidated projects as further described in this section:

- **“Transition Grants:”** This year, HUD is permitting HUD transition grants that will allow renewal projects to “transition” from one CoC Program component to another during the CoC Program Competition. Transition Grants are not an additional source of funding but rather, would be part of the existing Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) amount for the CoC. No more than 50% of each transition grant may be used for costs of eligible activities of the program component originally funded, transition grants in this competition are eligible for renewal in subsequent fiscal years for eligible activities of the new program component and eligibility to receive a transition grant requires renewal project applicants to have the consent of its CoC and meet all other criteria and standards in the NOFO. *See page 26 cc. of the HUD NOFO for further details.*
- **“Expansion Projects”:** *Expansion Project.* HUD will allow project applicants to apply for a new expansion project (see page 44 (6) of this NOFO) through reallocation, CoC Bonus, and DV Bonus processes to expand existing projects to increase the number of units, persons served, services provided to existing program participants, or to add additional activities to HMIS and SSO-CE projects. If the new expansion project will expand an existing eligible CoC Program renewal project HUD will not fund capital costs (i.e., new constructions, rehabilitation, or acquisition) and will only allow 1-year funding requests. YHDP projects cannot use the expansion process. Project applicants may expand an existing renewal project that is not currently dedicated to serving survivors of

domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking that meet the definition of homeless (24 CFR 578.3) to dedicate additional beds, units, persons served, or services provided to existing program participants to this population.

- **“Consolidated Projects:”** Eligible renewal project applicants have the ability to consolidate two or more eligible renewal projects into one project application during the application process. This means that a CoC Program recipient no longer must wait for a grant agreement amendment to be executed to consolidate two or more grants before it can apply for a single consolidated project in the CoC Competition. Consultation with the Collaborative applicant prior to undertaking this opportunity is required as HUD must confirm eligibility to consolidate projects. *See page 45 (7) of the HUD NOFO for further details.*
- **New Continuum of Care Projects (Bonus Projects)**
  - **“PH-PSH Projects”** New permanent supportive housing projects that will serve 100% chronically homeless individuals or persons who meet the definition of Dedicated PLUS (see page 22) families are eligible to apply in this competition. Permanent housing is community-based housing, the purpose of which is to provide housing without a designated length of stay. Grant funds may be used for leasing, rental assistance, operating costs and supportive services; definitions and guidance for each of these items is at 24 CFR 578.43- 578.63.
  - **“New PH-RRH, Joint TH and PH-RRH”** must follow a housing first approach and may serve persons who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1), (2), or (4) or 24 CFR 578.3.
  - **“New Coordinated SSO Projects for Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)”** to develop or operate a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
  - **“New Dedicated HMIS Project”** for the costs at 24 CFR 578.37(a)(4) that can only be carried out by the HMIS Lead, which is the recipient or subrecipient of an HMIS grant and is listed on the HMIS Lead form in the CoC Applicant Profile in *e-snaps*. Additionally, if the CoC has organizations within its geographic area that are victim service providers, the HMIS Lead, or subrecipient, may request HMIS funds for a comparable database. Victim service providers may also request HMIS funds in their project application budgets to enter data into a comparable database.

**New Continuum of Care Projects (DV Bonus Project) See page 38**

The Flint / Genesee County CoC may apply for up to \$479,992.00.

- **“New PH-RRH Projects”** dedicated to serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking that are defined as homeless (24 CFR 578.3)
- **“New Joint TH and PH-RRH Projects”** component projects defined on page 38 (b) of this NOFO dedicated to serving survivors of domestic violence dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who are defined a homeless (24 CFR 578.3)
- **“New SSO-Coordinated Entry Project”** to implement policies, procedures, and practices that equip the CoC’s coordinated entry to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic

## SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

- **Letter of Intent (LOI) to apply** as described below is due by **Monday, August 22, 2022, by 11:59 PM**. Both renewal and new LOIs must be submitted to Metro Community Development at [nofo@metroflint.org](mailto:nofo@metroflint.org)  
Include the following information on letterhead signed by CEO/Executive Director or (appropriate Position):
  - Project Type: Renewal (consolidated), New (CoC Bonus, DV Bonus, Expansion)
  - Project Summary (1 paragraph)
  - Program type (Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Re-Housing, New “Joint Project”, Supportive Services including HMIS and Coordinated Intake):
  - Proposed Funding Amount
  - Contact person and contact information
  - Please include “FY2022 NOFO LOI” in the subject line
- **Applications** both renewal and new applications must be submitted to Metro Community Development at [nofo@metroflint.org](mailto:nofo@metroflint.org) by **11:59 PM. on Monday, August 29, 2022, by 11:59PM**. Submission procedures are described below.

## Rules that affect how HUD evaluates applications

### Assessing Applicant Risk

In evaluating risks posed by project applicants, HUD may use a risk-based approach and may consider any items such as the following:

- a) Financial stability.
- b) Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in 2 CFR part 200.
- c) History of performance. The applicant's record in managing Federal awards, if it is a prior recipient of Federal awards, including timeliness of compliance with applicable reporting requirements, failing to make significant progress in a timely manner, failing to meet planned activities in a timely manner, conformance to the terms and conditions
  - a. of previous Federal awards, and if applicable, the extent to which any previously awarded amounts will be expended prior to future awards.
- d) Reports and findings from audits performed under Subpart F—Audit Requirements of 2 CFR part 200 or the reports and findings of any other available audits; and
- e) The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

### Past Performance

In evaluating project applications for funding, HUD will consider a project applicant’s past performance in managing funds. Items HUD will consider include, but are not limited to:

- a) the ability to account for funds in compliance with applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements.
- b) timely use of funds received from HUD.
- c) timely submission and quality of reports submitted to HUD.



- d) meeting program requirements.
- e) meeting performance targets as established in the grant agreement.
- f) the project applicant's organizational capacity, including staffing structures and capabilities.
- g) timely completion of activities and receipt and expenditure of promised matching funds;
- h) the number of persons served or targeted for assistance.
- i) promoting self-sufficiency and economic independence.
- j) producing positive outcomes and results.
- k) Encouraging participation with faith-based entities.

HUD may reduce scores based on the past performance review. Wherever possible, HUD will obtain past performance information and if this review results in an adverse finding related to integrity of performance, HUD reserves the right to take any of the remedies necessary.

### Statutory and Regulatory Requirements

To be eligible for funding under the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition NOFO, project applicants must meet all statutory and regulatory requirements in the Act and the Rule. The FY 2022 YHDP noncompetitive renewals and replacements are administered under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022 which permits YHDP projects to be renewed or replaced non-competitively through the CoC Program notwithstanding any conflict with the requirements of the CoC Program (see page 12 7. of this NOFO). Project applicants can obtain a copy of the Act and the Rule on [HUD's website](#) or by contacting the NOFO Information Center at 1-800- 483-8929.

### Threshold Requirements.

- a) Ineligible Applicants. HUD will not consider a project application from an ineligible project applicant, including an application submitted for CoC planning funds or UFA Costs from a project applicant other than the Collaborative Applicant.
  - b) Project Eligibility Threshold. HUD will review all projects to determine if they meet the following project eligibility threshold requirements on a pass/fail standard. If HUD determines the applicable standards are not met for a project, the project will be rejected. Any project requesting renewal funding will be considered as having met these requirements through its previously approved grant application unless information to the contrary is received (e.g., monitoring findings, results from investigations by HUD's Office of Inspector General, the recipient routinely does not draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter, consistently late Annual Performance Report (APR) submissions). Approval of new and renewal projects is not a determination by HUD that a recipient is compliant with applicable fair housing and civil rights requirements.
- (1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).
  - (2) Project applicants and subrecipients must demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds. Demonstrating capacity may include a description of the applicant and subrecipient experience with similar projects and with successful administration of SHP, S+C, or CoC Program funds or other federal funds.

(3) Project applicants must submit the required certifications specified in this NOFO.

(4) The population to be served must meet program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and page 17 f. of this NOFO.

(5) Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS.

**Project Quality Threshold.** HUD will review all new project applications to determine if they meet the following project quality threshold requirements. HUD will not award funds to a new project unless the project was created through reallocation, or the CoC has demonstrated to HUD's satisfaction that projects are evaluated and ranked based on the degree to which they improve the CoC's system performance. Any project requesting renewal funding, including renewing YHDP, will be considered as having met project quality threshold requirements through its previously approved grant application unless information to the contrary is received (e.g., monitoring findings, results from investigations by HUD's Office of Inspector General, the recipient routinely does not draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter, consistently late APR submissions) and/or if the renewal project has compliance issues which results in the project not operating in accordance with the Rule. If awarded, a recipient is required to meet all the criteria listed in the criteria column for its component. Additionally, the housing and services proposed must be appropriate to the needs of the program participants and the community. A determination that a project meets the project quality threshold is not a determination by HUD that a recipient is compliant with applicable fair housing and civil rights requirements.

### **Eligible Populations**

Populations who may be served by each of the project types are, as follow:

#### **Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)**

- All PSH projects must dedicate 100% of the units to chronically homeless individuals and/or chronically homeless families as defined by HUD or persons who meet the definition of Dedicated PLUS.
- Project applicants must demonstrate that they will first serve the chronically homeless according to the order of priority established in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Other Vulnerable Homeless Persons.
- Disabilities: All PSH projects must serve exclusively disabled households as defined by HUD.
- PSH projects may serve survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking as defined in paragraph (4) at 24 CFR 578.3.

#### **Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)**

- All projects must serve 100% literally homeless families and/or single adults coming from emergency shelters and/or unsheltered locations or meeting the criteria of paragraph (1), (2), or (4) of the HUD definition of homeless including survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking as defined in paragraph (4) at 24 CFR 578.3.
- Persons in transitional housing are not eligible for either project type, even if they met the criteria described above prior to entering the Transitional Housing (TH) Program, unless they meet the



criteria of category (4) definition of homelessness at 24 CFR 578.3 (survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking as defined). A household would meet category 4 of the definition of homelessness if they are fleeing or attempting to flee from domestic violence and meet all other requirements, regardless of where they are residing.

#### **Joint Transitional Housing (TH) and Rapid Re-Housing Component Projects**

- Individuals and families experiencing homelessness including those survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking as defined in paragraph (4) at 24 CFR 578.3.
- Combines the TH and RRH components into a single project.
- Joint TH and RRH projects must provide low-barrier, temporary housing while individuals and families quickly move to permanent housing with a seamless program design. Projects must have the capacity to provide both kinds of assistance to each participant.

#### **Supportive Services Only – Coordinated Entry Projects**

- Supportive Services Only: coordinated entry project to implement policies, procedures, and practices that equip the CoC's coordinated entry to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking.

#### **Eligible Costs**

The following guidance indicates the costs that may be included in program budgets, to be paid for by the CoC grant or by matching funds.

#### **Rental Assistance**

Rental assistance for homeless individuals and families, including tenant-based rental assistance. Grant funds may be used for security deposits in an amount not to exceed two months of rent, as well as last month's rent.

#### **Leasing**

The costs of leasing scattered site units to provide housing to homeless persons.

*Leasing: Limits on rent costs.* Rents paid must be reasonable in relation to comparable space or units and may not be more than the owner charges others for comparable units. Rents for residential units cannot exceed the HUD Fair Market Rent (FMR).

*Utilities.* Utilities are not a leasing line item. If utilities are not provided by the landlord, utility costs are an operating cost.

*Security deposits and first and last month's rent.* Grant funds may be used to pay security deposits, in an amount not to exceed two months of actual rent, as well as last month's rent.

#### **Supportive Services in PSH and RRH Programs Must Relate to Housing Stability.**

Supportive services must be necessary to assist program participants obtain and maintain housing and agencies must conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants and adjust services accordingly to achieve those ends.

### **Supportive Services**

The eligible costs of supportive services that address the special needs of the program participants.

#### **Eligible supportive services costs:**

- Reasonable one-time moving costs  
Case management
- Food—meals or groceries for program participants  
Housing search and counseling services
- Life skills training  
Outreach services  
Transportation
- Utility deposits (one-time fee, paid to utility companies)
- Direct provision of services: 1) costs of labor, supplies, and materials; and 2) salary and benefit packages of service delivery staff.
- **Ineligible costs:** Any cost that is not described as an eligible cost is not an eligible cost.

### **Operating Costs**

Grant funds may be used to pay the costs of the day-to-day operation of permanent supportive housing in a single structure or individual housing units.

#### **Eligible operating costs:**

- Maintenance and repair of housing  
Property taxes and insurance
- Building security for a structure where more than 50 percent of the units or area is paid for with grant funds
- Electricity, gas, and water Furniture
- Equipment

**Ineligible costs:** Program funds may not be used for rental assistance and operating costs in the same project. Program funds may not be used for the maintenance and repair of housing where the costs of maintaining and repairing the housing are included in the lease.

### **Matching Funds**

The grantee and sub-recipients must match all funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of funds or in-kind contributions from other sources. Guidance regarding cash and in-kind match is at 24 CFR 578.73. Cash match must be used for the costs of activities that are eligible CoC Program costs. Appendix C provides information required to document match.

### **Homeless Management Information System**

All successful project applicants—with the exception of entities that are victim service providers—must participate in the CoC's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

### **Coordinated Entry/Assessment System**

All successful applicants must participate in the CoC's coordinated entry/assessment system.

### **Grant Term**

Renewal and new projects may only apply for one year grant terms.

Please note: any new project application that includes leasing—either leasing alone or leasing costs plus other costs (e.g., supportive services, HMIS, etc.)—may only request up to a 1-year grant term.

### **BONUS FUNDS**

Bonus funds may be used to create the following types of new projects:

1. New permanent supportive housing projects that will primarily serve chronically homeless individuals and families including youth experiencing chronic homelessness.
2. New rapid rehousing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelters, including youth up to age 24, and includes persons fleeing violence as defined by HUD.
3. New joint component projects, which will combine transitional housing and rapid rehousing into a single project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness
4. Supportive services only: coordinated entry projects to develop or operate a coordinated assessment system

### **REALLOCATED FUNDS**

Continuums of Care may reduce or eliminate funds from eligible renewal projects and reallocate the funds to create or expand the following types of projects:

1. Permanent supportive housing projects that will primarily serve chronically homeless individuals and families including youth experiencing chronic homelessness.
2. Rapid rehousing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelters, including youth up to age 24, and includes persons fleeing violence as defined by HUD.
3. Joint component projects, which will combine transitional housing and rapid rehousing into a single project to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness.
4. Supportive Services projects for centralized or coordinated assessment systems.

### **PROJECT RANKING PROCESS**

HUD requires that all projects be ranked and prioritized in a two-tiered list. Tier 1 will be the top priority projects. Tier 2 will be lower priority projects. Either new or renewal projects may be ranked in Tier 1 or Tier 2. The placement of each project on the priority list will be determined through a multi-stage process including review by the Fiscal Workgroup and the Flint / Genesee County CoC voting membership.

Based on the highly competitive nature of the grant program, ranking of each project will be critical in determining the likelihood of funding. Projects ranked in Tier 2, particularly at the bottom of Tier 2, have a low probability of funding. New projects created through reallocation or bonus funding may be included in either Tier 1 or Tier 2.

Renewal projects will be reviewed and ranked through the CoC process based on the HUD Rating and Ranking tool. New project proposals will be reviewed in reference to organizational

capacity, strategic priority, project approach and design, and cost effectiveness and ranked through the CoC process (HUD rating and ranking tool) .

#### **HUD PROGRAM INFORMATION**

All parties intending to apply for funding are strongly encouraged to review the program regulations, including those organizations that are currently or were previously funded. Proposals that do not conform to the regulations will not be considered for funding. The regulations and other information for the Continuum of Care Program may be found at [this link](#).

#### **FUNDS AVAILABILITY**

Once awarded by HUD, grant funds are estimated to be made available by HUD by **the first half of calendar year 2023**. However, the awarding of funds and the timing of awards and grant-making by HUD is outside of the control of Metro Community Development. Agencies seeking renewal funding must be aware of all operating year start and end dates and must decide to accommodate any period for which a HUD funding award is denied or delayed. It should be noted that projects created through reallocation are not renewal projects and may have different start dates than the grants from which funds were taken.

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

Project Name: V GHS RRH Renewal 2021 (10)

Organization Name: Genesee Health System

Project Type: RRH

Project Identifier: 10

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

100%

If you would like to change the project type, please do so on the  
HHS and resubmit the data to the HHS. The data will be reviewed  
the list of projects to be reviewed.

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

For each threshold, select "yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.

a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or

b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (EAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:

a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;

b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and

c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

☒ Yes to all





## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: V GHS RRH Renewal 2021 (10)

Organization Name: Genesee Health System

Project Type: RRH

Project Identifier: 10

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

100%

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so on the  
HUD, and re-save the data to the DATA\_HUD\_LPTA table, or do so in  
the HUD OFFICE LPTA table.

## THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

		YES/NO
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.		Yes
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.		Yes
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)		Yes
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.		Yes
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities - Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.		Yes
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.		Yes

## CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the  
CoC, or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Yes
Documented, secured minimum match	Yes
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	Yes
Project is financially feasible	Yes
Applicant is active CoC participant	Yes
Application is complete and data are consistent	Yes
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Yes





RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: V GHS RRH Renewal 2021 (10)

Organization Name: Genesee Health System

Project Type: RRH

Project Identifier: 10

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete



Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the  
RRH and re-apply the data to the RRH. The DATA entry, or do so in  
the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V SOF COC LU Renewal 2022 (25)  
Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
Project Type: PSH (General)  
Project Identifier: 25

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	25 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	89 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	11 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	8 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	49 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	50 %	10.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			75	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	20 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.9	88%	0.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	82%	0	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	105.73%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	98	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			55	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			295	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			82	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 294,765
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 294,765</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 285,341
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 273,573
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	96%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Traverse Place (484) (10)  
 Organization Name: Genesee County Youth Corporation  
 Project Type: TH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 10

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
Length of Stay				
Transitional Housing	On average, participants stay in project 110 days	<input type="text" value="10"/> days	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Transitional Housing	25% move to PH	<input type="text" value="75"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="13"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="9"/> %	<input type="text" value="2.5"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			<input type="text" value="27.5"/> out of	<input type="text" value="70"/>
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Transitional Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	<input type="text" value="20"/>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			<input type="text" value="75"/> out of	<input type="text" value="75"/>
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			<input type="text" value="70"/> out of	<input type="text" value="70"/>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
TH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	<input type="text" value="100"/>	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	15
TH (General) - Unit Utilization	.85	<input type="text" value="100"/>	<input type="text" value="15.0"/> out of	15
TH (General) - Data Quality	.92	<input type="text" value="94"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
TH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	<input type="text" value="100"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			<input type="text" value="90"/> out of	<input type="text" value="90"/>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			<input type="text" value="267.5"/> out of	<input type="text" value="325"/>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			<input type="text" value="81"/> out of	<input type="text" value="100"/>

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	NOTE: Fill in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ <input type="text" value="126,654"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		\$ <input type="text" value="126,654"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	NOTE: Fill in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ <input type="text" value="126,654"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	NOTE: Fill in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ <input type="text" value="126,654"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text" value="100%"/>

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Y GCYC TP Renewal 2022 (10)  
 Organization Name: Genesee County Youth Corporation  
 Project Type: TH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 10

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
Length of Stay				
Transitional Housing	On average, participants stay in project 110 days	<input type="text" value="10"/> days	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Transitional Housing	25% move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="13"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	10
Performance Measures Subtotal			25	out of 92.5
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Transitional Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0.0"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="25"/> out of	25
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lens, no undue barriers	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
HMS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text" value="YES"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			20	out of 20
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			190	out of 277.5
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			68	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 126,654
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		\$ 126,654
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 126,654
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 126,654
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Y GHS LU Renewal 2022 (11)  
 Organization Name: Genesee Health System  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 11

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	104 days	0	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	7 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	20 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	14 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	29 %	10.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			65	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	25 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	95 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	9	95%	20.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	70%	0	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	74.30%	0	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	99.15	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			60	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			290	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			81	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 388,502
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	\$ 388,502
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 375,019
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 236,237
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	63%

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V SOF MANOR Renewal 2022 (28)  
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 28

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	7 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	8 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	69 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	40 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	80 %	10.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			85	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	0 %	0.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			0	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entres to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.9	95%	20.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	63%	0	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	87.50%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	98	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			75	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			305	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			85	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 70,854
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 70,854</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 69,281
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 69,281
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	100%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Y SOF CHI Renewal 2022 (22)  
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 22

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	47 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	9 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	18 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			65	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	100 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	9	176%	20.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	176%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	100.00%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	98	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			90	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			320	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			89	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 107,211
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 107,211</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 103,101
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 100,101
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	97%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Y SOF LU Renewal 2022 (26)  
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 26

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	7 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	8 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	33 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	67 %	10.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			75	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	24 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	9	99%	20.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	85	104%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	85	95.83%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	92	99.1	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			90	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			330	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			92	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 131,957
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 131,957</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 126,918
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 112,421
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	89%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V SOF VLUP Renewal 2022 (30)  
Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
Project Type: PSH (General)  
Project Identifier: 30

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	28 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	80 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	73 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	33 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	67 %	10.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			75	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	40 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	9	86%	0.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	85	93%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	85	133.33%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	99.29	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			70	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			310	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			87	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 118,679
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 118,679</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 114,528
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 100,575
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	88%

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Y 50F CLUP Renewal 2022 (23)  
 Organization Name: Shelter of Flint Inc.  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 23

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	7 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	78% remain in or move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 18
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	17 %	10.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project stayers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	58 %	10.0	out of 10
Earned income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
Non-employment income for project leavers	5%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 10
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			65	out of 103
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	10 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	25	out of 25
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	25	out of 25
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			75	out of 75
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.9	100%	20.0	out of 20
PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	167%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	120.83%	15	out of 15
PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	98	20.0	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			90	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			320	out of 358
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			89	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 124,153
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 124,153</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 119,086
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 110,321
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	93%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V GHS RRH Renewal 2022 (12)  
Organization Name: Genesee Health System  
Project Type: RRH (General)  
Project Identifier: 12

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to RRH	33 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	78% move to PH	100 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	100 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	5 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	26 %	2.5	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			52.5	out of 70
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	10 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	YES	25	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 90% of entries to project from CE referrals	90 %	10	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			45	out of 45
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	YES	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	YES	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	YES	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	YES	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	YES	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	YES	20	out of 20
RRH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	74%	0	out of 15
RRH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	75%	0	out of 15
RRH (General) - Data Quality	.92	98.27	20.0	out of 20
RRH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	150%	20	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			60	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			247.5	out of 295
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			84	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 261,851
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 261,851</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 252,743
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 186,246
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	74%



# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: V GCYC TH-RRH Renewal 2022 (8)  
 Organization Name: Genesee County Youth Corporation  
 Project Type: RRH (General)  
 Project Identifier: 8

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to RRH	7 days	20	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	78% move to PH	75 %	0	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	13 %	0	out of 15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			20	out of 70
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 2% of participants are chronically homeless	10 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	out of 25
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 90% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	10	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	Yes	10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			40	out of 45
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Yes	10	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	Yes	10	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	Yes	10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	Yes	10	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	Yes	10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	Yes	10	out of 10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	Yes	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			70	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	Yes	20	out of 20
RRH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	180%	15	out of 15
RRH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	120%	15	out of 15
RRH (General) - Data Quality	.92	93.04	20.0	out of 20
RRH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	100%	20	out of 20
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			90	out of 90
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			240	out of 295
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			81	out of 100

## PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 198,593
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	
Amount of private funding	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$ 198,593</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	\$ 193,313
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	\$ 120,901
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year	63%

## Deontrae Wilson

---

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 9:38 AM  
**To:** Deontrae Wilson  
**Cc:** NOFO MI-505  
**Subject:** FW: FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Projects Moving Forward

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Friday, September 9, 2022 4:48 PM  
**To:** Brian Glowiak <bglowiak@metroflint.org>  
**Cc:** Erika Humphrey <ehumphrey@metroflint.org>  
**Subject:** FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Projects Moving Forward

Brian,

On Wednesday August 31, 2022, the Flint/Genesee County CoC voted to approve the ranking results. Therefore, your projects (listed below) will move forward to the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Application and Priority Listing submission. Thank you and congratulations!

- MI0146L5F052114
- MI0613L5F052103
- MI0711L5F052100

Sincerely,

*Tracey Yvette Hurd, MBA*

Vice President, Community Development

O: 810.767.4622 x 300

Direct: 810.620.1718

C: 810.955.3246

[tjackson@metroflint.org](mailto:tjackson@metroflint.org)

[metrocommunitydevelopment.com](http://metrocommunitydevelopment.com)

1174 Robert T Longway Boulevard

Flint, MI 48503

*"Do not go where the path may lead instead go where there is no path and leave a trail". –Ralph Waldo Emerson*



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Notice: This message is intended for the named recipient(s) only and may contain confidential, privileged, or private information exempt from disclosure under Michigan law. If you have received this message in error, do not forward, share, save or duplicate it. Please reply and notify me of the error in transmission and then delete the message. Thank you.



## Deontrae Wilson

---

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 9:37 AM  
**To:** Deontrae Wilson  
**Cc:** NOFO MI-505  
**Subject:** FW: FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Projects Moving Forward

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Friday, September 9, 2022 4:45 PM  
**To:** wdoub@genhs.org  
**Subject:** FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Projects Moving Forward

Bill,

On Wednesday August 31, 2022, the Flint/Genesee County CoC voted to approve the ranking results. Therefore, your projects (listed below) will move forward to the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Application and Priority Listing submission. Thank you and congratulations!

- MI0149L5F052113
- MI0447L5F052107

Sincerely,

*Tracey Yvette Hurd, MBA*

Vice President, Community Development

O: 810.767.4622 x 300

Direct: 810.620.1718

C: 810.955.3246

[tjackson@metroflint.org](mailto:tjackson@metroflint.org)

[metrocommunitydevelopment.com](http://metrocommunitydevelopment.com)

1174 Robert T Longway Boulevard

Flint, MI 48503

*"Do not go where the path may lead instead go where there is no path and leave a trail". –Ralph Waldo Emerson*



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Notice: This message is intended for the named recipient(s) only and may contain confidential, privileged, or private information exempt from disclosure under Michigan law. If you have received this message in error, do not forward, share, save or duplicate it. Please reply and notify me of the error in transmission and then delete the message. Thank you.

## Deontrae Wilson

---

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 9:36 AM  
**To:** Deontrae Wilson  
**Cc:** NOFO MI-505  
**Subject:** FW: FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Projects Moving Forward

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Friday, September 9, 2022 4:43 PM  
**To:** jimp@reach-traverseplace.org  
**Cc:** Erika Humphrey <ehumphrey@metroflint.org>  
**Subject:** FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Projects Moving Forward

Greetings Jim,

On Wednesday August 31, 2022, the Flint/Genesee County CoC voted to approve the ranking results. Therefore, your projects (listed below) will move forward to the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Application and Priority Listing submission. Thank you and congratulations!

- MI0144L5F052114
- MI0147L5F052113
- MI0670L5F052102

Sincerely,

*Tracey Yvette Hurd, MBA*

Vice President, Community Development

O: 810.767.4622 x 300

Direct: 810.620.1718

C: 810.955.3246

[tjackson@metroflint.org](mailto:tjackson@metroflint.org)

[metrocommunitydevelopment.com](http://metrocommunitydevelopment.com)

1174 Robert T Longway Boulevard

Flint, MI 48503

*"Do not go where the path may lead instead go where there is no path and leave a trail". –Ralph Waldo Emerson*



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

**Notice:** This message is intended for the named recipient(s) only and may contain confidential, privileged, or private information exempt from disclosure under Michigan law. If you have received this message in error, do not forward,



## Deontrae Wilson

---

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 9:36 AM  
**To:** Deontrae Wilson  
**Cc:** NOFO MI-505  
**Subject:** FW: Renewal Projects Moving Forward

**From:** Tracey Hurd  
**Sent:** Friday, September 9, 2022 4:41 PM  
**To:** Linda Bielskis <lbielskis@shelterofflint.org>  
**Cc:** Shelly Hoffman <shoffman@shelterofflint.org>; Jody Pringle <jpringle@shelterofflint.org>; dgreene@shelterofflint.org; Renisha Houston <rhouston@shelterofflint.org>  
**Subject:** Renewal Projects Moving Forward

Greetings Linda,

On Wednesday August 31, 2022, the Flint/Genesee County CoC voted to approve the ranking results. Therefore, your projects (listed below) will move forward to the FY2022 CoC Program NOFO Renewal Application and Priority Listing submission. Thank you and congratulations!

- MI0143L5F052114
- MI0150L5F052114
- MI0314L5F052110
- MI0343L5F052107
- MI0344L5F052108
- MI0373L5F052108

*Tracey Yvette Hurd, MBA*

Vice President, Community Development

O: 810.767.4622 x 300

Direct: 810.620.1718

C: 810.955.3246

[tjackson@metroflint.org](mailto:tjackson@metroflint.org)

[metrocommunitydevelopment.com](http://metrocommunitydevelopment.com)

1174 Robert T Longway Boulevard

Flint, MI 48503

*"Do not go where the path may lead instead go where there is no path and leave a trail". –Ralph Waldo Emerson*



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

**Notice:** This message is intended for the named recipient(s) only and may contain confidential, privileged, or private information exempt from disclosure under Michigan law. If you have received this message in error, do not forward,

RATING RESULTS

RATING RESULTS

Sort projects by:

You can sort the project list by using the dropdown selector at the top.

Maximum display of 50 records reference top

10/25/2025

=

Not all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

RATING RESULTS

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/ DV	McKinney	McKinney-	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
							- Vento: YHDP	Vento: YHDP Renewals					Youth Beds															
33	MI0146L5F052013	Renewal	HMIS Renewal 2022	Metro Community Development	HMIS	General	No	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$89,577		\$89,577				NOT RATED
22	MI0343L5F052013	Renewal	SOF CHI Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	33	0	33	0	25	4	0	3	0	0				\$107,211		\$100,101		Yes		89
26	MI0314L5F052009	Renewal	SOF LU Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	27	0	0	0	17	6	0	0	0	0				\$131,957		\$112,421		Yes		92
28	MI0150L5F052013	Renewal	SOF MANOR Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	18	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	0	0				\$70,854		\$69,281		Yes		85
29	MI0143L5F052013	Ignore	SOF COC LU Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	17	0	0	0	3	20	0	0	0	0				\$294,765		\$273,573				NOT RATED
30	MI0344L5F052007	Renewal	SOF VLUP Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	10	0	0	5	5	10	0	0	5	0				\$118,679		\$100,575		Yes		87
23	MI0373L5F052013	Renewal	SOF CLUP Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	28	0	0	0	14	6	0	0	0	0				\$124,153		\$110,321		Yes		89
25	MI0143L5F052013	Renewal	SOF COC LU Renewal 2022	Shelter of Flint Inc.	PSH	General	No	No	43	0	0	0	12	12	0	0	0	0				\$294,765		\$273,573		Yes		82
11	MI0149L5F052113	Renewal	GHS LU Renewal 2022	Genesee Health System	PSH	General	No	No	14	0	0	0	9	22	0	0	0	0				\$388,502		\$236,237		Yes		81
8	MI0670L5F052001	Renewal	GCYC TH-RRH Renewal 2022	Genesee County Youth Corporat	RRH	General	No	No	11	0	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	0				\$198,593		\$120,901		Yes		81
12	MI0447L5F052006	Renewal	GHS RRH Renewal 2022	Genesee Health System	RRH	General	No	No	19	0	0	0	10	7	0	0	1	0				\$261,851		\$186,246		Yes		84
34	MI0147L5F052012	Renewal	GCYC HO Renewal 2022	Genesee County Youth Corporat	SSO	General	No	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$107,217		\$107,217				NOT RATED
35	MI0613L5F052002	Renewal	MCD CE Renewal 2022	Metro Community Development	SSO - coordi	General	No	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	\$124,374		\$124,374				NOT RATED
10	MI0144L5F052013	Renewal	GCYC TP Renewal 2022	Genesee County Youth Corporat	TH	General	No	No	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	14				\$126,654		\$126,654		Yes		68



FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING									
CoC Bonus Funding	\$239,996	Tier 1 (Adj ARD*95%)	\$2,269,459	Tier 2 (Adj ARD*5%+CoC Bonus) + DV Bonus	\$839,433	DV Bonus Funding	\$479,992	Projects Exceeding Adj ARD + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus	
Allocated	\$0	Allocated from Tier 1	\$2,144,387	Allocated from Tier 2	\$0	Allocated to Tier 1	\$0	Amount	
% Allocated	0%	Remaining	\$125,072	Remaining	\$839,433	Allocated to Tier 2	\$0	\$0	
Remaining	\$239,996					% Allocated	0%		
						Remaining	\$479,992		

			MANUALLY EDIT!																											
			Ranking	Priority Level	Weighted Rating Score	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Grant Number	Project Type	General/DV	Organization Name	Project Name	CoC Funding Requested	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Recommendation (manual entry)	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Project ID
TIER (ARD - YHDP)	↓	↑	1	Unspecified	NOT RATED	Renewal	MI0613LSF052002	coordinated er	General	Metro Community Dev	MCD CE Renewal 20	\$ 124,374	\$ 124,374	\$ 124,374	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No			35
	↓	↑	2	Unspecified	NOT RATED	Renewal	MI0146LSF052013	HMIS	General	Metro Community Dev	HMIS Renewal 2022	\$ 89,577	\$ 89,577	\$ 89,577	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No			33
	↓	↑	3	Unspecified	NOT RATED	Renewal	MI0147LSF052012	SSO	General	Genesee County Youth	GCYC HO Renewal 21	\$ 107,217	\$ 107,217	\$ 107,217	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	No	No			34
	↓	↑	4	Unspecified	NOT RATED	Ignore	MI0143LSF052013	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF COC LU Renewa	\$ 294,765	\$ 273,573	\$ 294,765	17	0	0	0	3	20	0	0	0	0						29
	↓	↑	5	Unspecified	89	Renewal	MI0343LSF052013	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF CHI Renewal 20	\$ 107,211	\$ 100,101	\$ 107,211	33	0	33	0	25	4	0	3	0	0					Yes	22
	↓	↑	6	Unspecified	92	Renewal	MI0314LSF052009	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF LU Renewal 202	\$ 131,957	\$ 112,421	\$ 131,957	27	0	0	0	17	6	0	0	0	0					Yes	26
	↓	↑	7	Unspecified	85	Renewal	MI0150LSF052013	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF MANOR Renewi	\$ 70,854	\$ 69,281	\$ 70,854	18	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	0	0					Yes	28
	↓	↑	8	Unspecified	87	Renewal	MI0344LSF052007	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF VLUP Renewal 2	\$ 118,679	\$ 100,575	\$ 118,679	10	0	0	5	5	10	0	0	5	0					Yes	30
	↓	↑	9	Unspecified	89	Renewal	MI0373LSF052013	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF CLUP Renewal 2	\$ 124,153	\$ 110,321	\$ 124,153	28	0	0	0	14	6	0	0	0	0					Yes	23
	↓	↑	10	Unspecified	81	Renewal	MI0670LSF052001	RRH	General	Genesee County Youth	GCYC TH-RRH Renew	\$ 198,593	\$ 120,901	\$ 198,593	11	0	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	0					Yes	8
	↓	↑	11	Unspecified	81	Renewal	MI0149LSF052113	PSH	General	Genesee Health Syster	GHS LU Renewal 202	\$ 388,502	\$ 236,237	\$ 388,502	14	0	0	0	9	22	0	0	0	0					Yes	11
	↓	↑	12	Unspecified	84	Renewal	MI0447LSF052006	RRH	General	Genesee Health Syster	GHS RRH Renewal 21	\$ 261,851	\$ 186,246	\$ 261,851	19	0	0	0	10	7	0	0	1	0					Yes	12
	↓	↑	13	Unspecified	68	Renewal	MI0144LSF052013	TH	General	Genesee County Youth	GCYC TP Renewal 20	\$ 126,654	\$ 126,654	\$ 126,654	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	14					Yes	10
TIER	↓	↑	14	Unspecified	82	Renewal	MI0143LSF052013	PSH	General	Shelter of Flint Inc.	SOF COC LU Renewa	\$ 294,765	\$ 273,573	\$ -	43	0	0	0	12	12	0	0	0	0					Yes	25

Projects Not Selected For Funding

Projects Not Selected For Funding												MANUALLY EDIT!															
	Priority	Weighted	Renewal, New,								CoC Funding	All Fam	DV Fam	CH Fam	Vet Fam	Par Youth	All Ind	DV Ind	Total CH	Vet Ind	Single	Is 100%	Is 100%		Met All HUD	Met All CoC	
Ranking	Level	Rating Score	Expansion, Reallocate	Grant Number	Project Type	General/DV	Organization Name	Project Name	Requested	Operating Year	Recommendation (manual entry)	Beds	Beds	Beds	Beds	Beds	Beds	Beds	Ind Beds	Beds	Youth Beds	CH Fam (Yes/No)	CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	Threshold Requirements	Threshold Requirements	Project ID