NAVIGATION

- GO Customize Threshold Requirements
- GO Filter Rating Factors
- GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- GO Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

COC Threshold Requirements X Coordinated Entry Participation X Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation X Documented, secured minimum match X Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally X Project is financially feasible X Applicant is active CoC participant X Application is complete and data are consistent Data quality at or above 90% Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% X Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select special population

Select...

Sking these was device in an usual scient which ruting there is to provide and custo nice.

Select...

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures	Factor/Goal	Max Point Valu							
<u>Leng</u> th of Stay									
X RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	60 days	20 points							
X RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days	20 points							
X PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	60 days	20 points							
X PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points							
X TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	110 days	20 points							
X TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points							
X IH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180days	10 points							
X TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180days	points							
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15days	10points							
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15days	10 points							
Exits to Permanent Housing									
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	78 %	25 points							
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points							
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	78 %	25 points							
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points							
X TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	25 %	25 points							
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points							
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	75 %	25 points							
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points							
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)									
X RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points							
X RRH (OV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points							
X PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11 %	18 points							

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

X PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10points
X IH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	%	15 points
X ITH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	%	10 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
X TH-RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
New or increased income and Earned Income		
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	points
RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8%	2.5 points
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u> </u>	10points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8%	2.5 points
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	10 %	10 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	10 %	10 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 paints
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	5 %	10 points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	10 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	·
X TH+8RH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		10 points
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	%	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	%	2.5 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		2.5 points
The second secon	%	10points
The state of the s	15%	2.5points
The state of the s	%	10 points
X TH (OV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	%	10 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15%	2.S points
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%	2.5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%	2.5 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u> </u>	10points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25%	2.5 points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	10 %	10 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 paints
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	10 %	10 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	 25 %	2.5 paints
Serve High Need Populations parect from drop down menu!		
X Coordinated Assessment score		
X RRH (General) - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	95 %	20 points
X RRH (DV) - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates ARH or more intensive intervention	<u></u> %	points
X PSH (General) - Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with XX% at highest end of PSH range	2 %	
X PSH (DV) - Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with XX% at highest end of PSH range		
X TH (General) - XX% of participant meet CoC's TH targeting criteria	<u>"</u> %	points
	95%	20points
	*	points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Assessment score for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	95 %	20 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Assessment scare for XX% of participants indicates RRH or more intensive intervention	%	points
Draiget Effectiveness		
Project Effectiveness X RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost ner onsitive bousing exit for project type		
and the project time	Yes	20 points
X RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10points
X PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	25points
X PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10points
X TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	25points
X TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	25 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type X RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral for alternative system for DV projects)	Yes		10	_ points
	90	-%	10	_ points
	95	-%	10	_ points
X PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) X PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	_%	25	points
	95	_%	10	_ points
X TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation - Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	_%	25	_ paints
X TH (OV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	- %	10	_ points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV	95	- %	25	_points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	_%	10	points
X RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
RRH (OV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	-	10	_ · points
X PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project		_		_
Delicies and procedures X PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	-	25	_ points
	Yes	-	10	_ points
X TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		25	points
X IN (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	_	10	points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		25	points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of		-		points
project policies and procedures	Yes	-	10	points
Equity Factors				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
X Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and feadership positions	Yes		10	points
X Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Yes	-	10	points
X Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes	-	10	– points
X Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedutes with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	•	10	points
				_
Program Participant Outcomes X Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, sender identity, and/or age				
	Yes	-	10	points
	Yes		10	_ points
X Recipient is working with HMIS fead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age	Yes	-	10	points
Other and Local Criteria (select from thop down menu)				
X CoC Monitoring Score Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes		20	points
X TH+RRH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	-	15	points
TH+RRH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	•	15	points
TH+RRH (General) - Data Quality	.92	-	20	points
X TH+RRH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	-	20	_ points
X PSH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.9	•	20	points
X PSH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	•	15	points
X PSH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	-	15	points
X PSH (General) - Data Quality	.92	•	20	points
RRH (General) - Units Utilization	.85	-	15	points
X RRH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	-	15	points
X RRH (General) - Data Quality	.92	-	20	points
X RRH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	•	20	points
X TH (General) - Bed Utilization	.85	•	15	points
X TH (General) - Unit Utilization	.85	•	15	points
X TH (General) - Data Quality	.92	-	20	points
X TH (General) - Number of Clients Served	.90	-	20	points
		•		-1 ==

Total Maximum Score RRH-General projects: points PSH-General projects: points PSH-DV projects: points PSH-DV projects: points

	·	

TH-General projects: 355 points
TH-DV projects: 255 points
TH+RRH-General projects: 355 points
TH+RRH-DV projects: 255 points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Ex	perience	Factor/Goal	Max P	oint Val
Х	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to the proposed in the application.	ət	15	_ points
Х	DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	_ points
х	General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteri for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal reco (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientatic gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	rds	10	_ points
х	DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientatic gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	n,	10	_ points
х	General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.		5	_ points
х	DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performat for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and time submission of required reporting on existing grants.		5	_ points
Des	sign of Housing & Supportive Services			
х	General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and locatic of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housin and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	the	15	_ points
х	DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the neof clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.		15	_ points
Х	General-8. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their nee	ds.	5	points
Х	DV-8. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.		5	_ points
Х	General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5	points
Х	DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5	points
Х	General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		10	_ points
Х	DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		10	_points
х	General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		10	_points
X	DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		10	points
Tim	neliness			
X	General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.		10	_ points
X	DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provi	de	10	_ points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Fina	ancial					
X	General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.			5	points	
X						
	8. Organization's most recent audit:			5	points	
X	General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess			5	points	
X	DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess				points	
X	General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'			5	points	
X	OV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'				points	
X X X	General-3. Indicates no findings				— points	
X	DV-3. Indicates no findings			5	— points	
_						
×	General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.			5	points	
Ľ	OV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.			5	points	
Ľ	General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.			20	points	
_ X_	DV-O. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.			20	points	
Pro	ject Effectiveness					
	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	0.5		-		
	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	95	-%	5	points	
ت	- and an artist a supplemental percent of entries, highered to route trait of tisteral?	95	-*		_ points	
Equ	ity Factors					
	cy Leadership, Governance, and Policies					
<u> </u>	New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	_	10	points	
X	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	Yes	_	10	points	
X	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	Yes	_	10	points	
х	New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not	Yes		10	points	
	impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes		-			
Progr	ram Participant Outcomes					
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender			10		
	identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review			10	_points	
х	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to			10	points	
	make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review				- '	
X	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review			10	points	
	er and Local Criteria					
X	Is the project consistent withthe 10 Year Plan			10	points	

Total Maximum Score

General projects:

points